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**Purpose:** To determine which method of noninvasive carbon dioxide (Co2) monitoring - transcutaneous or end-tidal Co2 - more accurately reflects arterial Co2 levels facilitating identification of hypoventilation as evidenced by hypercarbia in adults receiving anesthesia in the peri-procedural setting?

**Name of Reviewer:** Kathryn K. Byerly, RN

**Date of Review:** February 9, 2013

**Date of completion:** February 21, 2013

**Clinical Scenario:** Arterial blood sampling sets the standard for Co2 monitoring in the peri-procedural setting. However, arterial blood gas (ABG) sampling is an invasive procedure and provides only intermittent estimates of Co2 levels. Improved ventilatory optimization in the peri-procedural setting would be better achieved using a technology that provides continuous estimates of this non-static variable. Two non-invasive Co2 measurement systems - end-tidal Co2 (PETCo2) and transcutaneous Co2 (TcPCo2) - are currently used clinical practice to provide these estimates. Due to the costs of implementing non-invasive Co2 monitoring systems throughout all peri-procedural areas, it is critical to determine which system provides the most accurate, timely and actionable data as compared to the “gold standard” ABG.

**PICOT Question:** Which method of noninvasive carbon dioxide monitoring - transcutaneous or end-tidal Co2 - more accurately reflects arterial Co2 levels facilitating identification of hypoventilation as evidenced by hypercarbia in adults receiving anesthesia in the peri-procedural setting?

**Search Strategies and Results:** CINAHL, MEDLINE, and COCHRANE databases were searched with the following keywords and phrase: 1.) Co2 monitoring, 2.) adult and 3.) transcutaneous. A subsequent search parameter narrowed results by using the key phrase: carbon dioxide monitoring. This key phrase search resulted in the identification of 43 articles written between 1981 and 2012 for review. Of the 43 articles found, 14 articles pertinent to the PICOT question were identified. The reference sections of these articles were hand searched with no additional pertinent articles identified. Three prospective observational studies were appraised as the highest level evidence available at this time based on both the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) & Oxford Levels of Evidence and were selected for this topic appraisal.

**Chosen Articles:**

http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bja/aeg217

**Clinical Appraisal:**

Casati et al (2006) conducted a prospective, observational correlational study (DHHS & Oxford Level 3) that included 17 consecutive patients all age ≥ 60 undergoing general anesthesia for surgery in an acute care hospital. Both non-invasive modalities and arterial Co2 testing were employed in all 17 cases with the intent to compare both the accuracy and the mean bias estimation of PETCo2 and TcPCo2 monitoring to arterial PaCo2 levels derived from intermittent arterial blood samples. For the noninvasive monitoring modalities, the absolute values of the differences between the PaCo2 & PETCo2 was 3mmHG or less in 7 (15%) of the 45 sample sets and the absolute values of the differences between the PaCo2 and the TcPCo2 was less than 3mmHg or less in 21 (46%) of the 45 sample sets (\(p=0.003\)). The Pearson’s correlation of PETCo2 to PaCo2 was \(r^2=0.50\) and TcPCo2 to PaCo2 was \(r^2=0.73\). The mean bias arterial versus TcPCo2 was \(\pm 4 \) mmHg (95% CI, -6 to 9mmHg) and the mean bias arterial versus PETCo2 was \(\pm 6\) (95%CI, -3 to 16 mmHg, \(p = 0.0005\)). These statistically significant results support the conclusion that transcutaneous Co2 monitoring provided a more accurate estimation of PaCo2 (measure of ventilatory adequacy) than PETCo2 in healthy elders over 60 years of age undergoing general anesthesia.

The design of this study did not provide for a control group – testing of both noninvasive Co2 systems and comparison against arterial blood samples was conducted concurrently on the same study group. Although the patient group in this study was homogeneous for age, type of surgery and anesthesia, and ASA score, the group was selected by convenience sampling and as noted by the Centre for Clinical Effectiveness, Monash Institute of Health Services Research, correlational studies are “fast and cheap [and] hypothesis generating… [but they] are highly susceptible to bias” (The Center for Clinical Effectiveness, Monash Institute of Health Services Research, 2006, p. 24). Further, aside from control of patient homogeneity as noted, it was unclear what additional measures were taken to control for confounding variables. While the sample group was small (group n = 17), the sample data set n =45. A thorough discussion of appropriate descriptive and inferential statistical analysis with clear description of quantitative results was provided by the author. While the results of this study did support that TcCO2 monitoring was a more accurate measure of PaCO2/hypoventilation in surgical patients age 60 years and over, the following limitations exist: differences in patient groups (age, co-morbidities), surgical and anesthesia type and the complexities of the transcutaneous monitoring technology especially related to placement, calibration and maintenance of the limits the applicability of these findings to all adult peri-procedural patients.

De Oliveira, Jr. et al (2010) conducted a prospective, blinded, observational correlational study (DHHS & Oxford Level 3) that included 40 healthy females undergoing hysteroscopy with monitored anesthesia/sedation (MAC) at a tertiary care hospital. Both noninvasive Co2 monitoring systems (PETCo2 & TcPCo2) and arterial blood gas sampling (PaCO2) were employed to permit simultaneous comparison of results obtained from the same group of subjects. The intent was to determine which non-invasive modality most accurately identified hypoventilation resulting in hypercarbia as defined as a value of PaCO2 > 6.65kPa. For the non-invasive monitoring modalities, the mean absolute difference between PaCO2 & TcPCo2 was 0.43 compared to the absolute mean difference between the PaCO2 & PETCo2 (\(p=0.002\)); the mean bias of TcPCo2 & PaCO2 = 0.23 (CI, 0.07 to 0.4) and the mean bias of PETCo2 & PaCO2 = -0.93 (CI, -1.24 to 0.63). Overall, twelve of the subjects demonstrated PaCO2 values > 6.65kPa. Of this group, TcPCo2 recorded values >6.65kPa in 8 of the 12 where PETCo2 identified a PaCO2 > 6.65kPa in only 1 of the 12 subjects. These statistically significant results support
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the conclusion that transcutaneous CO2 monitoring provided a more accurate estimation of PaCO2 (measure of ventilatory adequacy) and was more likely to identify hypercarbia/hypoventilation in healthy females undergoing MAC anesthesia than is PETCO2 monitoring.

Once again, this study design did not provide for a control group – testing of both noninvasive CO2 systems and comparison against arterial blood samples was conducted concurrently on the same study group. Although the patient group in this study was homogeneous for gender, type of surgery and anesthesia, and ASA score, the study sample was one of convenience. A power analysis with sample size calculation using the PASS 2008 identifying an n = 40 to achieve an 80% power to detect a difference of 1.06kPa between the two noninvasive modalities was utilized. It was unclear what, if any, additional measures to promote internal & external validity and control of confounding variables were employed beyond anesthesia provider blinding to the use of TcPCO2 and attempts to assure group homogeneity. A thorough discussion of appropriate descriptive and inferential statistical analysis with clear description of quantitative results was provided by the author. While the results of this study did support that TcCO2 monitoring was a more accurate measure of arterial CO2 level and more accurately identified hypercarbia related to hypoventilation in adult, female surgical patients, the following limitations exist: differences in patient groups (age range, co-morbidities), surgical and anesthesia type and the complexities of the transcutaneous monitoring technology especially related to placement, calibration and maintenance of the device limits the applicability of these findings to all adult peri-procedural patients.

Griffin, J. et al (2003) conducted a prospective, observational correlational study (DHHS & Oxford Level 3) that included 30 adults [7 men/23 females; age 18-54 years; BMI > 40] undergoing open vertical ringed gastric bypass roux en y procedure under general anesthesia with tracheal intubation in an acute care hospital. Both TcPO2 and PETCO2 modalities were utilized with the intent to compare the accuracy of the non invasive measures in estimating the PaCO2. The results of the absolute difference analysis of the TcPCO2:PaCO2 measurements versus the PETCO2:PaCO2 measurements were as follows: the TcPCO2 was closer to the arterial CO2 in 25 out of 30 patients. The PETCO2 was closer to the arterial CO2 in 4 out of 30 patients and for 1 out of 30 patients, the results were equivocal. Further, the absolute difference between the PETCO2 and the TcPCO2 measurements were also compared when the differences in the non-invasive measurements differed from the actual arterial CO2 by 0.5kPa and 10kPa. The average data set analysis indicated that: 90% of TcCO2 readings were 0.5kPa or less from the PaCO2 value. 30% of PETCO2 readings were 0.5kPa or less from the PaCO2 value (p<0.00025) and 100% of TcCO2 readings were 1.0kPa or less from the PaCO2 value (p<0.05). These statistically significant results support the conclusion that noninvasive TcCO2 monitoring was a more accurate measure of arterial CO2 (as a measure of ventilatory adequacy) than PETCO2 in adult patients with a BMI > 40.

This study design did not provide for a control group. Testing of TcCO2 and PETCO2 modalities were conducted concurrently on the same group of subjects. The study patients were selected via convenience sampling and were homogenous only in regard to procedure, anesthesia and cut point of BMI >40. It was not clear if additional measures were taken to control for confounding variables. Appropriate descriptive statistical testing with analysis and results were described by the author. Again, while the results of this study does support that TcCO2 monitoring was a more accurate measure of PaCO2/hypoventilation in a mixed group of adult patients with a BMI >40 undergoing gastric bypass, the following limitations exist: differences in patient groups (age, co-morbidities), surgical and anesthesia type and the complexities of the transcutaneous monitoring technology especially related to placement, calibration and maintenance of the device limits the applicability of these findings to all adult peri-procedural patients.
Conclusion/Clinical Bottom Line:

The resultant data supports the conclusion that transcutaneous Co2 monitoring provides a more accurate estimate of arterial Co2 levels compared to end-tidal Co2 monitoring within the subject parameters as defined for each study. However, selection of the subjects in each of these sample groups was specifically defined based on characteristics such as demographics (age/sex), co-morbidity, surgical procedure or anesthesia type and, as such, it cannot be assumed that the results are generalizable to all adult peri-procedural patients. Further, as factors other than arterial Co2 levels effect ventilatory adequacy, there was insufficient evidence to support that TcPCo2 monitoring was more accurate in identifying hypoventilation than PETCo2 monitoring. Randomized controlled trials are needed not only to compare the accuracy of non-invasive Co2 estimates to arterial Co2 levels in a wider variety of adult peri-procedural patients but are also needed to evaluate the accuracy of TcPCo2 monitoring in identifying hypoventilation which results in hypercarbia.
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