#### LONG TERM DYNAMICS OF THE DI-BLOCK COPOLYMER MODEL ON HIGHER DIMENSIONAL DOMAINS

by

Michael R. Atkins A Thesis Submitted to the Graduate Faculty of George Mason University in Partial Fulfillment of The Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science Mathematics

Committee:

5/6/2011

Date:

Thomas Wanner, Thesis Director

Evelyn Sander, Committee Member

Daniel M. Anderson, Committee Member

Stephen H. Saperstone, Acting Chairman, Department of Mathematical Sciences

Richard Diecchio, Associate Dean for Academic and Student Affairs. College of Science

Vikas Chandhoke, Dean, College of Science

Spring Semester 2011 George Mason University Fairfax, VA

Long Term Dynamics of the Di-Block Copolymer Model on Higher Dimensional Domains

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science at George Mason University

By

Michael R. Atkins Bachelor of Science George Mason University, 2010

Director: Thomas Wanner, Professor Department of Mathematical Sciences

> Spring Semester 2011 George Mason University Fairfax, VA

 $\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Copyright} \textcircled{C} \mbox{ 2011 by Michael R. Atkins} \\ \mbox{ All Rights Reserved} \end{array}$ 

# Dedication

This thesis is dedicated to the memory of Ann Atkins.

# Acknowledgments

I would like to thank the following people who made this possible.

- Thomas Wanner
- Evelyn Sander
- Ian Johnson
- Jeff Snider
- Pearu Peterson
- The developers of matplotlib, Python and numpy
- Dept. of Mathematical Sciences
- Anyone who feels their name should be here instead of this sentence.

# Table of Contents

List of Tables       vii         List of Figures       viii         Abstract       ix         1       Introduction       1         1.1       Model       1         1.2       Galerkin's Method       6         1.3       Fourier Analysis       8         1.3.1       A Basis of Eigenfunctions       8         1.3.2       A Fact About Fourier Coefficients       11         1.4       Semi Implicit Method       13         2       Numerical Scheme       18         2.1       Derivation       18
List of FiguresviiiAbstractix1Introductionix1Introduction11.1Model11.2Galerkin's Method61.3Fourier Analysis81.3.1A Basis of Eigenfunctions81.3.2A Fact About Fourier Coefficients111.4Semi Implicit Method132Numerical Scheme182.1Derivation18
Abstractix1Introduction11.1Model11.2Galerkin's Method61.3Fourier Analysis81.3.1A Basis of Eigenfunctions81.3.2A Fact About Fourier Coefficients111.4Semi Implicit Method132Numerical Scheme182.1Derivation18
1       Introduction       1         1.1       Model       1         1.2       Galerkin's Method       6         1.3       Fourier Analysis       8         1.3.1       A Basis of Eigenfunctions       8         1.3.2       A Fact About Fourier Coefficients       11         1.4       Semi Implicit Method       13         2       Numerical Scheme       18         2.1       Derivation       18
1.1Model11.2Galerkin's Method61.3Fourier Analysis81.3.1A Basis of Eigenfunctions81.3.2A Fact About Fourier Coefficients111.4Semi Implicit Method132Numerical Scheme182.1Derivation18
1.2       Galerkin's Method       6         1.3       Fourier Analysis       8         1.3.1       A Basis of Eigenfunctions       8         1.3.2       A Fact About Fourier Coefficients       11         1.4       Semi Implicit Method       13         2       Numerical Scheme       18         2.1       Derivation       18
1.3       Fourier Analysis       8         1.3.1       A Basis of Eigenfunctions       8         1.3.2       A Fact About Fourier Coefficients       11         1.4       Semi Implicit Method       13         2       Numerical Scheme       18         2.1       Derivation       18
1.3.1       A Basis of Eigenfunctions       8         1.3.2       A Fact About Fourier Coefficients       11         1.4       Semi Implicit Method       13         2       Numerical Scheme       18         2.1       Derivation       18
1.3.2A Fact About Fourier Coefficients111.4Semi Implicit Method132Numerical Scheme182.1Derivation18
1.4Semi Implicit Method132Numerical Scheme182.1Derivation18
2         Numerical Scheme         18           2.1         Derivation         18
2.1 Derivation $\ldots$ 18
2.2 Implementation in C $\ldots \ldots 20$
2.2.1 Fast Fourier Transform
2.2.2 Zero Padding $\ldots$ 22
2.3 Implementation in Python
3 Results and Conclusions
3.1 Creating a Double Gyroid
3.2 Examining a Phase Diagram
3.3 Conclusion
A Notation
B AISE
B.0.1 util.py
B.0.2 plotters.py $\dots \dots \dots$
B.0.3 transFunc.py
B.1 Examples
B 1 1 CMWcheck pv 81
B 1 2 dg py
C. Solvers

C.1	$2D\_per.c$	 	•	 					•				•		87
C.2	$3D_{-}per.c$	 		 					•				•		99
Bibliogra	aphy	 		 											115

# List of Tables

Table		Page				
3.1	The Predicted Lamellae column represents simulations that took place with					
	$\mu,\sigma$ and $\lambda$ which should have generated lamellae as predicted by Choksi et al.					
	whereas the Predicted Circles column represents simulations that took place					
	with $\mu,\sigma$ and $\lambda$ which should have generated hexagonally packed circles as					
	predicted by Choksi et al	28				

# List of Figures

Figure		Page
3.1	A Double Gyroid	26
3.2	$\mu, \lambda$ and $\sigma$ as in (3.1), $t = 15$ . The simulation was carried out with a step-size	
	of $h = 10^{-5}$ for $t \in [0, 14]$ and a step-size of $h = 10^{-6}$ for $t \in (14, 15]$ . N was	
	set to 64 for the simulation. Due to memory constraints, the nonlinearity	
	was approximated using $2N$ Fourier coefficients instead of the $3N$ required	
	to compute it exactly.	29
3.3	A typical energy decay for the 3D case with $\mu, \lambda$ and $\sigma$ as in (3.1)	30
3.4	Hexagonally packed circles in the 2D case	31
3.5	Lamellae in the 2D case	32

## Abstract

# LONG TERM DYNAMICS OF THE DI-BLOCK COPOLYMER MODEL ON HIGHER DIMENSIONAL DOMAINS

Michael R. Atkins, M.S.

George Mason University, 2011

Thesis Director: Dr. Thomas Wanner

In this thesis, we examine the di-block copolymer model as proposed by Ohta and Kawasaki. We derive a nonlinear evolution equation from the Ohta-Kawasaki functional. We then find an approximation to this equation via Galerkin's method. A semi-implicit scheme is then applied to the Galerkin system. This solver is then implemented in C with a python user interface. This implementation is then used to investigate the long term dynamics of the model in 2D and 3D.

Specifically, we arrive at a solution to the 3D case which partially reproduces the results of Teramoto and Nishiura which describe the existence of a Double Gyroid equilibrium state. In the 2D case, we find a long term solution for many different parameter configurations. In fact, our results in the 2D case call in to question the efficacy of a nonstandard numerical method introduced by Choksi et al.

## Chapter 1: Introduction

In this work, we examine a differential equation which is often used to model diblock copolymers. Block copolymers are molecules which are made up of two or more blocks of chemically distinct monomers each of which are either a small molecule or an atom[1]. Since we have restricted ourselves to diblock copolymers, the copolymers we will investigate only have two blocks, or monomers, per molecule. This class of copolymers exhibits phase separation when each monomer is viewed as a phase [1]. This phase separation is commonly modeled via the minimization of a free energy functional introduced by Ohta & Kawasaki which we will introduce more formally later [11].

Using this model, the phase diagram for this phenomenon has been partially constructed [2,14]. Moreover, in attempting to describe the phase diagram, some new structures which have not been observed in experiment were observed in numerical simulations, namely, the double gyroid [14]. However, in spite of the promise of these results, a nonstandard numerical technique was used, and as a result, these results have not been independently reproduced. It is our goal to reproduce these results using a more standard numerical scheme. In order to achieve this aim, we will introduce a model for this phase separation, construct a numerical scheme for solving this model, discuss the implementation of this scheme and provide examples of solutions generated by this scheme.

#### 1.1 Model

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be the unit cube, and  $u \in H^1_{\text{per}}(\Omega, [0, \infty))$  describe the ratio of monomers at a given point. The functional proposed by Ohta & Kawasaki which we will use is given by

$$E_{\lambda,\sigma}[u] = \int_{\Omega} \left[ \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \lambda W(u) + \frac{\lambda \sigma}{2} |\nabla v|^2 \right] dx.$$
(1.1)

where  $\lambda$  is the reciprocal of the Flory–Huggins parameter [11],

$$W(u) := \frac{(1-u^2)^2}{4}, \quad -1 < \mu < 1, \quad \sigma > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda > 0, \tag{1.2}$$

u satisfies the average mass constraint

$$\mu := \int_{\Omega} u \, dx \tag{1.3}$$

and  $v \in \left\{ f \in H^1_{\rm per}(\Omega) \mid \, \int_\Omega f \; dx = 0 \right\}$  such that

$$-\Delta v = u - \mu \tag{1.4}$$

describes the long range interactions with  $\sigma$  scaling the strength of these interactions [3,11].

It is our aim to find an evolution equation for u(x,t). In order to do so, we apply a similar approach to that given in [3] and [5]. First, define the Hilbert space

$$H := \left\{ f \in L^2(\Omega) \mid \int_{\Omega} f \, dx = 0 \right\}$$

equipped with the inner product

$$(f,g)_H := \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v \, dx \tag{1.5}$$

where  $f = -\Delta u$  and  $g = -\Delta v$  on  $\Omega$ .

Second, define  $\operatorname{grad}_H E_{\lambda,\sigma}[u] \in H$  using the weak formulation of the  $H^{-1}$  norm, i.e., for all  $\delta > 0$  and

$$B(t): [0,\delta) \to H$$

such that B is differentiable at t = 0, B(0) = u and B satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dt} E_{\lambda,\sigma}[B(t)]\Big|_{t=0} = \left(\operatorname{grad}_H E_{\lambda\sigma}[u], \frac{\partial B}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0}\right).$$

Third, assume that u evolves along the path of steepest descent, i.e.,

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = -\text{grad}_H E_{\lambda,\sigma}[u]. \tag{1.6}$$

Let u be as in (1.1) and  $-\Delta w \in H$ . Set  $-\Delta v = u - \mu - t\Delta w$ . We now compute

$$\frac{d}{dt}E_{\lambda,\sigma}[u-t\Delta w]\Big|_{t=0} = \left[\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\Omega}\frac{1}{2}|\nabla(u-t\Delta w)|^2 dx + \frac{d}{dt}\int_{\Omega}\lambda W(u-t\Delta w) dx + \frac{d}{dt}\int_{\Omega}\frac{\lambda\sigma}{2}|\nabla v|^2 dx\right]_{t=0}.$$
(1.7)

First, we find

$$\begin{split} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla(u - t\Delta w)|^2 \, dx &= \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} \nabla(u - t\Delta w) \cdot \nabla(u - t\Delta w) \, dx \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^d \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} (u - t\Delta w) \right)^2 \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \sum_{k=1}^d \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} (u - t\Delta w) \right) \left( \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} (u - t\Delta w) \right) \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \sum_{k=1}^d \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} (u - t\Delta w) \right) \left( -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \Delta w \right) \, dx. \end{split}$$

Evaluating at t = 0 yields

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla(u - t\Delta w)|^2 dx \Big|_{t=0} = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{k=1}^d \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}u\right) \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\Delta w\right) dx$$
$$= -\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla(\Delta w) dx$$
$$= -\left(\int_{\partial\Omega} \Delta w \nabla u \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} \, ds - \int_{\Omega} \Delta w \Delta u \, dx\right) \qquad (1.8)$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \Delta w \Delta u \, dx \tag{1.9}$$

where (1.8) comes from Green's first identity and the equality in (1.9) comes from the periodic boundary conditions.

Now, we find

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \lambda W(u - t\Delta w) \, dx \Big|_{t=0} = \lambda \int_{\Omega} -\Delta w \, W'(u - t\Delta w) \, dx \Big|_{t=0}$$
$$= -\lambda \int_{\Omega} W'(u)\Delta w \, dx. \tag{1.10}$$

Finally, we find

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\lambda \sigma}{2} |\nabla v|^2 \, dx = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\lambda \sigma}{2} \int_{\Omega} \nabla v \cdot \nabla v \, dx$$
$$= \frac{\lambda \sigma}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{k=1}^d \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}v\right)^2 \, dx$$
$$= \lambda \sigma \int_{\Omega} \sum_{k=1}^d \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}v\right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\frac{d}{dt}v\right) \, dx$$
$$= \lambda \sigma \int_{\Omega} \sum_{k=1}^d \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}v\right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}w\right) \, dx$$
$$= \lambda \sigma \int_{\Omega} \nabla v \cdot \nabla w \, dx.$$

Evaluating at t = 0 yields

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\lambda \sigma}{2} |\nabla v|^2 \, dx \Big|_{t=0} = \int_{\Omega} \lambda \sigma \nabla v \cdot \nabla w \, dx. \tag{1.11}$$

Combining (1.9), (1.10) and (1.11) with (1.7) yields

$$\frac{d}{dt} E_{\lambda,\sigma}[u - t\Delta w]\Big|_{t=0} = \int_{\Omega} \left[ (\lambda W'(u) - \Delta u)(-\Delta w) + \sigma \lambda \nabla u \cdot \nabla w \, dx \right]$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} \left[ \nabla (\lambda W'(u) - \Delta u + \lambda \sigma v) \cdot \nabla w \, dx \right]$$
(1.12)

$$= \left(-\Delta(\lambda W'(u) - \Delta u + \lambda \sigma v), -\Delta w\right)_{H}$$
(1.13)

where (1.12) follows from applying integration by parts and the boundary conditions, and

(1.13) comes from (1.5). Hence,

$$\operatorname{grad}_{H} E_{\lambda,\sigma}[u] = -\Delta(\lambda W'(u) - \Delta u + \lambda \sigma v)$$
$$= -\Delta(\lambda W'(u)) - \Delta u + \lambda \sigma (u - \mu). \tag{1.14}$$

Combining this fact with our assumption in (1.6) yields

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = -\Delta^2 u - \lambda \Delta (u - u^3) - \lambda \sigma (u - \mu).$$
(1.15)

## 1.2 Galerkin's Method

In this section, we introduce Galerkin's method. We will use this to approximate the solution of (1.15), by finding an exact solution in a finite dimensional subspace of  $H_{\text{per}}^1(\Omega)$ . It will be necessary to approximate the solution, since in general, even for linear PDE, only statements about existence of solutions can be made. First however, we must define what it means to solve (1.15).

**Definition 1.** We say a function  $u \in H^1_{per}(\Omega)$  is a solution to (1.15) provided that

$$\left(-\Delta^2 u - \lambda \Delta (u - u^3) - \lambda \sigma (u - \mu) - \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, v\right)_{L^2(\Omega)} = 0$$

for all  $v \in H^1_{per}$  with  $u(0) = u_0$ .

With this definition for solutions in mind, we now provide Galerkin's method. Let  $w_k(x)$ be a set of smooth functions which is a complete orthogonal set in  $H^1_{per}(\Omega)$  and a complete orthonormal set in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . For any N, we can construct  $u_N$  such that

$$u_N(x,t) := \sum_{k=0}^{N} a_k(t) w_k(x)$$
(1.16)

for  $a_k(t) \in H^1([0,\infty))$  satisfy

$$a_k(0) = (u_0, w_k)_{L^2(\Omega)}$$
 for all  $k \le N$  (1.17)

and

$$\left(-\Delta^2 u_N + \lambda \Delta W'(u_N) - \lambda \sigma(u_N - \mu) - \frac{\partial u_N}{\partial t}, w_k\right)_{L^2(\Omega)} = 0 \text{ for all } k \le N.$$
(1.18)

The existence and uniqueness of these  $a_k(t)$  is guaranteed by the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** Provided that  $\{w_k\}$  is complete and orthogonal in  $H^1_{per}(\Omega)$  and is complete and orthonormal in  $L^2(\Omega)$ , for any  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , there exists a unique  $u_N$  in the form of (1.16) which satisfies (1.17) and (1.18).

*Proof.* Assume  $u_N$  as in (1.16). For simplicity, assume  $\mu = 0$ . Since the inner product is linear in the first argument, for all  $k \leq N$ 

$$\left( -\Delta^2 u_N - \lambda \Delta (u_N - u_N^3) - \lambda \sigma(u_N) - \frac{\partial u_N}{\partial t}, w_k \right)_{L^2(\Omega)} = -\left( \Delta^2 u_N, w_k \right)_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

$$+ \left( \lambda \Delta W'(u_N), w_k \right)_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

$$- \left( \lambda \sigma(u_N), w_k \right)_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

$$- \left( \frac{\partial u_N}{\partial t}, w_k \right)_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

Note that for some  $b_k(t)$ ,  $W'(u_N) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k(t)w_k(x)$ . Let  $P_M(W'(u_N)) := \sum_{k=0}^{M} b_k(t)w_k(x)$ . Assume that for some  $M \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $W'(u_N) = P_M(W'(u_N))$ . Also, set  $c_\ell = (\Delta^2 w_\ell, w_k)_{L^2(\Omega)}$  and  $d_{\ell} = (\Delta w_{\ell}, w_k).$ 

$$-\left(\Delta^2 u_N, w_k\right)_{L^2(\Omega)} = -\sum_{\ell=0}^N a_\ell(t)c_\ell$$
$$\left(\lambda\Delta W'(u_N), w_k\right)_{L^2(\Omega)} = \lambda\sum_{\ell=0}^M b_\ell(t)d_\ell$$
$$-\left(\lambda\sigma(u_N), w_k\right)_{L^2(\Omega)} = -\lambda\sigma a_k(t)$$
$$-\left(\frac{\partial u_N}{\partial t}, w_k\right)_{L^2(\Omega)} = -a'_k(t).$$

If we construct  $u_N$  so that it satisfies (1.17), elementary ordinary differential equations theory tells us that there are unique  $a_k(t)$  which satisfy

$$0 = -\sum_{\ell=0}^{N} a_{\ell}(t)c_{\ell} + \lambda \sum_{\ell=0}^{M} b_{\ell}(t)d_{\ell} - \lambda \sigma a_{k}(t) - a_{k}'(t)$$

given the initial condition  $u_0$  and setting  $a_k(0)$  as in (1.17).

۲

## 1.3 Fourier Analysis

In the previous section we saw that finite linear combinations of orthonormal basis functions will solve (1.15). In this section we will choose our orthonormal basis as well as prove some facts about the Fourier coefficients of a function over this basis.

#### 1.3.1 A Basis of Eigenfunctions

Since we are working with periodic boundary conditions on the unit cube, the set of functions we will choose is

$$w_k(x) = e^{2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^d k_j x_j}$$
(1.19)

where  $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_d) = x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_d) = k \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ . We have chosen these functions because they are the eigenfunctions of the Laplacian over the unit cube with periodic boundary conditions. This will allow us to turn differentiation into multiplication by an eigenvalue which will make implementation of a solver in software possible. We prove this fact in the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $-\Delta$  denote the Laplacian over the unit cube in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with periodic boundary conditions. The functions  $w_k$  are eigenfunctions of  $-\Delta$ .

*Proof.* In order to show that  $w_k$  are eigenfunctions of  $-\Delta$ , we must show for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$  that  $-\Delta w_k = a_k w_k$  for  $a_k \in \mathbb{R}$  and that  $w_k \in H^1_{\text{per}}(\Omega)$ . First, we show the former statement.

To proceed, we compute the partial derivative

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_\ell^2} w_k = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\ell} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\ell} e^{2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^d k_j x_j} \right]$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\ell} \left[ 2\pi i k_\ell e^{2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^d k_j x_j} \right]$$

$$= -4\pi^2 k_\ell^2 e^{2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^d k_j x_j}$$
(1.20)

Now the computation of the Laplacian becomes straightforward.

$$-\Delta w_{k} = -\sum_{\ell=1}^{d} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{\ell}^{2}} w_{k}$$
$$= -\sum_{\ell=1}^{d} -4\pi^{2} k_{\ell}^{2} e^{2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^{d} k_{j} x_{j}}$$
(1.21)

$$= 4\pi^2 \sum_{\ell=1}^d k_\ell^2 e^{2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^d k_j x_j}$$
(1.22)

where the equality in (1.21) comes from (1.20).

It remains to be shown that for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ ,  $w_k \in H^1_{\text{per}}(\Omega)$ . We saw in (1.20) that  $w_k$ 

has a continuous derivative, so  $w_k \in H^1(\Omega)$ . Therefore, all that we need to show is that  $w_k$  satisfies the periodic boundary conditions. Let  $c \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ .

$$w_{k}(x+c) = e^{2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^{d} k_{j}(x_{j}+c_{j})}$$
  
=  $e^{2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^{d} k_{j}x_{j}} e^{2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^{d} k_{j}c_{j}}$   
=  $e^{2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^{d} k_{j}x_{j}}$  (1.23)

where the equality in (1.23) comes from Euler's identity.

In addition to proving the theorem, the above proof also gives us that the eigenvalue corresponding to  $w_k$  is

$$\kappa_k = 4\pi^2 \sum_{\ell=1}^d k_\ell^2.$$
 (1.24)

As well as being the eigenfunctions of  $-\Delta$ ,  $\{w_k\}$  is a complete orthonormal set in  $L^2(\Omega)$ and a complete orthogonal set in  $H^1_{\text{per}}(\Omega)$  which we show in the next theorem.

**Theorem 3.**  $\{w_k\}$  is a complete orthonormal set in  $L^2(\Omega)$  and a complete orthogonal set in  $H^1_{per}(\Omega)$ .

*Proof.* From Theorem 2, we know that for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ ,  $w_k \in H^1_{per}(\Omega) \subset L^2(\Omega)$ . First we show that  $\{w_k\}$  is orthonormal in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . From the definition of the  $L_2(\Omega)$  inner product, we have

$$(w_k, w_\ell)_{L^2(\Omega)} = \int_{\Omega} w_k \overline{w_\ell} \, dx$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} e^{2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^d k_j x_j} e^{2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^d \ell_j x_j} \, dx$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} e^{2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^d (k_j - \ell_j) x_j} \, dx.$$
(1.25)

If k = j, we have  $(w_j, w_k)_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1$ ; otherwise,  $(w_j, w_k)_{L^2(\Omega)} = 0$ .

We now show that  $\{w_k\}$  is orthogonal in  $H^1_{per}(\Omega)$ . Let  $j \neq k$ . From the definition of the  $H^1_{per}(\Omega)$  inner product,

$$(w_{k}, w_{\ell})_{H^{1}_{\text{per}}(\Omega)} = (w_{k}, w_{\ell})_{L^{2}(\Omega)} + \sum_{m=1}^{d} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{m}} w_{k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{m}} w_{\ell} \right)_{L^{2}(\Omega)}$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{d} \left( 2\pi i k_{m} e^{2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^{d} k_{j} x_{j}}, 2\pi i \ell_{m} e^{2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^{d} \ell_{j} x_{j}} \right)_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \qquad (1.26)$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{d} -4\pi^{2} k_{m} \ell_{m} \int_{\Omega} e^{2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^{d} (k_{j} - \ell_{j}) x_{j}} dx \qquad (1.27)$$

$$= 0$$

where the equality in (1.26) comes from (1.25) and the equality in (1.27) comes from the definition of the inner product in  $L^2(\Omega)$ .

It remains to show that  $\{w_k\}$  is complete in  $H^1_{per}(\Omega)$  and  $L^2(\Omega)$ . This part of the proof is outside of the scope of this thesis, so we will simply cite [4] to complete the proof.

We have now established that  $w_k$  satisfies the conditions for Theorem 1; hence, for any  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , there is a unique  $u_N$  which approximates (1.15) such that

$$u_N(x,t) = \sum_{|k|_{\infty} \le N} a_k(t) w_k(x).$$
(1.28)

#### 1.3.2 A Fact About Fourier Coefficients

One fact that we take advantage of in Section 2.2 pertains to the nonlinear term in (1.15),  $u - u^3$ . Theorem 4.

$$u_N^3(x,t) = \sum_{|k|_\infty \le 3N} b_k(t) w_k(x)$$

for some  $b_k : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* From (1.28), we have

$$u_N^3(x,t) = \left(\sum_{|k|_\infty \le N} a_k(t)w_k(x)\right)^3$$
$$= \left(\sum_{|k|_\infty \le N} a_k(t)e^{2\pi i\sum_{j=1}^d k_j x_j}\right)^3$$
(1.29)

$$= \sum_{|k|_{\infty} \leq N} \sum_{|\ell|_{\infty} \leq N} \sum_{|m|_{\infty} \leq N} a_{k}(t) a_{\ell}(t) a_{m}(t) e^{2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^{d} k_{j} x_{j}} e^{2\pi i \sum_{\ell=1}^{d} k_{j} x_{j}} e^{2\pi i \sum_{m=1}^{d} k_{j} x_{j}}$$

$$= \sum_{|k|_{\infty} \le N} \sum_{|\ell|_{\infty} \le N} \sum_{|m|_{\infty} \le N} a_k(t) a_\ell(t) a_m(t) e^{2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^d (k_j + \ell_j + m_j) x_j}.$$
 (1.30)

Setting

$$b_n := \sum_{k+\ell+m=n} a_k(t) + a_\ell(t) + a_m(t)$$
(1.31)

yields

$$\sum_{|k|_{\infty} \le N} \sum_{|\ell|_{\infty} \le N} \sum_{|m|_{\infty} \le N} a_k(t) a_\ell(t) a_m(t) e^{2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^d (k_j + \ell_j + m_j) x_j} = \sum_{|n|_{\infty} \le 3N} b_n(t) e^{2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^d n_j x_j}.$$
(1.22)

(1.32)

## 1.4 Semi Implicit Method

An important feature of (1.15) which was omitted in the preceding two sections is that of time. In this section, we will introduce a time stepping method for approximating a solution of an autonomous ODE and show that this method is convergent and is order 1. Of course, we must first define what we mean by convergent and order 1.

Let  $u: [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$  such that for all  $t \ge 0$ ,

$$u'(t) = f(u(t))$$
(1.33)

where f is Lipschitz. We approximate u over  $[0, t^*]$  by first choosing a discretization width h > 0 and using it to define  $t_{n,h}$  via  $t_{n+1,h} = t_{n,h} + h$ , where  $t_{0,h} = 0$ . A time stepping method, F seeks to approximate  $u(t_{n,h}) \approx u^{n,h}$  via  $u^{n,h} = F(u^{n,h}, u^{n-1,h}, f)^1$ .

**Definition 2.** A time stepping method for the ODE (1.33) is convergent provided that for all  $t^* > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{h \to 0^+} \max_{n \in [0, \lfloor t^*/h \rfloor] \cap \mathbb{Z}} ||u^{n,h} - u(t_{n,h})|| = 0.$$
(1.34)

**Definition 3.** A time stepping method F for the ODE (1.33) is order  $p \ge 1$  provided for  $h > 0, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$u(t_{n,h}) - F(u(t_{n,h}), u(t_{n-1,h}), f)) = \mathcal{O}(h^{p+1}).$$
(1.35)

The time stepping method we will use requires a further constraint on f. Namely, that  $f = f_1 + f_2$ , for some  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  where  $f_1$  is linear and  $f_2$  is Lipschitz. This method is defined by

$$F(u^{n,h}, u^{n-1,h}, f) := u^{n-1,h} + hf_1(u^{n,h}) + hf_2(u^{n-1,h}).$$
(1.36)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This definition is overly specialized for simplicity here; for a more general context, see [7].

In order for this method to be useful, it will need to be convergent and at least order p = 1[7].

#### **Theorem 5.** The time stepping method given in (1.36) is order 1.

*Proof.* Let  $h > 0, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Substituting (1.36) into the left side of (1.35) yields

$$u(t_{n,h}) - F(u(t_{n,h}), u(t_{n-1,h}), f)) = u(t_{n,h}) - u(t_{n-1,h})$$

$$- hf_1(u(t_{n,h})) - hf_2(u(t_{n-1,h}))$$

$$= u(t_{n-1,h}) + hu'(t_{n-1,h}) + \mathcal{O}(h^2) - u(t_{n-1,h}) \quad (1.37)$$

$$- hf_1(u(t_{n-1,h}) + \mathcal{O}(h))$$

$$- hf_2(u(t_{n-1,h}))$$

$$= hu'(t_{n-1,h}) + \mathcal{O}(h^2) \quad (1.38)$$

$$- hf_1(u(t_{n-1,h})) - hf_1(\mathcal{O}(h))$$

$$- hf_2(u(t_{n-1,h}))$$

$$= h(u'(t_{n-1,h}) - f(u(t_{n-1,h}))) + \mathcal{O}(h^2) \quad (1.39)$$

$$=\mathcal{O}(h^2),\tag{1.40}$$

where the equality in step (1.37) comes from the Taylor series expansion of  $u(t_{n,h})$  centered at  $t_{n-1,h}$ , the equality in step (1.38) comes from the linearity of  $f_1$  and the equality in (1.40) comes from (1.33).

#### **Theorem 6.** The time stepping method given in (1.36) is convergent.

*Proof.* We assume without loss of generality that  $f_2$  is globally Lipschitz. Due to our choice of W in (1.2), given sufficient initial conditions,  $|u|_{\infty} \leq M$  for some  $M \in \mathbb{R}$ , so  $f_2$  can be extended linearly on  $(-\infty,-M)\cup(M,\infty)$  to satisfy globally Lipschitz.

Let  $t^*, h > 0$ . Define the  $e^{n,h} := y^{n,h} - y(t_{n,h})$ . From (1.36) we have

$$u^{n,h} = u^{n-1,h} + hf_1(u^{n,h}) + hf_2(u^{n-1,h}).$$

combining this with Theorem 5 yields

$$e^{n,h} = e^{n-1,h} + h(f_1(u^{n,h}) - f_1(u(t_{n,h}))) + h(f_2(u^{n-1,h}) - f_2(u(t_{n-1,h}))) + \mathcal{O}(h^2).$$
(1.41)

By the triangle inequality and the fact that  $\mathcal{O}(h^2) \leq ch^2$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$||e^{n,h}|| \le ||e^{n-1,h}|| + h||(f_1(u^{n,h}) - f_1(u(t_{n,h})))|| + h||(f_2(u^{n-1,h}) - f_2(u(t_{n-1,h})))|| + ch^2$$
(1.42)

Since  $f_1$  is linear and  $f_2$  is globally Lipschitz, there exist  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$  such that for all  $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$||f_1(u_1) - f_1(u_2)|| \le \lambda_1 ||u_1 - u_2||$$
 and  $||f_2(u_1) - f_2(u_2)|| \le \lambda_2 ||u_1 - u_2||.$ 

If  $\lambda_2$  is a Lipschitz coefficient for  $f_2$ ,  $\lambda_2 + \varepsilon$  where  $\varepsilon > 0$  is a Lipschitz coefficient for  $f_2$  as well. Therefore, we can assume without loss of generality that  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ . Applying this fact to (1.42) yields

$$||e^{n,h}|| \le ||e^{n-1,h}|| + h\lambda_1||e^{n,h}|| + h\lambda_2||e^{n-1,h}|| + ch^2.$$

Since we will be taking a limit as  $h \to 0^+$ , we can assume without loss of generality  $1 > h\lambda_1$ . This gives us

$$||e^{n,h}|| \le \frac{1+h\lambda_2}{1-h\lambda_1}||e^{n-1,h}|| + \frac{ch^2}{1-h\lambda_1}.$$
(1.43)

To proceed any further, we need to show that

$$||e^{n,h}|| \le \frac{ch^2}{1-h\lambda_1} \frac{1}{\frac{1+h\lambda_2}{1-h\lambda_1} - 1} \left[ \left(\frac{1+h\lambda_2}{1-h\lambda_1}\right)^n - 1 \right] \text{ for } n = 0, 1, \dots$$
(1.44)

We show this by induction. For n = 0,  $u^{0,h} = u(0)$ , so  $||e^{0,h}|| = 0 \le 0$ ; hence, the base case is true. Assume (1.44) for n. By (1.43),

$$||e^{n+1,h}|| \leq \frac{1+h\lambda_2}{1-h\lambda_1} \frac{ch^2}{1-h\lambda_1} \frac{1}{\frac{1+h\lambda_2}{1-h\lambda_1} - 1} \left[ \left( \frac{1+h\lambda_2}{1-h\lambda_1} \right)^n - 1 \right] + \frac{ch^2}{1-h\lambda_1} \right]$$
$$= \frac{ch^2}{1-h\lambda_1} \frac{1}{\frac{1+h\lambda_2}{1-h\lambda_1} - 1} \left[ \left( \frac{1+h\lambda_2}{1-h\lambda_1} \right)^{n+1} - \frac{1+h\lambda_2}{1-h\lambda_1} + \frac{1+h\lambda_2}{1-h\lambda_1} - 1 \right]$$
$$= \frac{ch^2}{1-h\lambda_1} \frac{1}{\frac{1+h\lambda_2}{1-h\lambda_1} - 1} \left[ \left( \frac{1+h\lambda_2}{1-h\lambda_1} \right)^{n+1} - 1 \right].$$
(1.45)

Hence, (1.44) is indeed the case for all n.

Moreover, we have that

$$\left(\frac{1+h\lambda_2}{1-h\lambda_1}\right)^n = \left(\frac{1-h\lambda_1+h\lambda_1+h\lambda_2}{1-h\lambda_1}\right)^n$$
$$= \left(1+\frac{h\lambda_1+h\lambda_2}{1-h\lambda_1}\right)^n$$
$$\leq \exp\left(\frac{h\lambda_1+h\lambda_2}{1-h\lambda_1}\right)^n$$
$$= \exp\left(\frac{nh\lambda_1+nh\lambda_2}{1-h\lambda_1}\right).$$
(1.46)

Since  $n \in [0, \lfloor t^*/h \rfloor] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ , for all such n,

$$\exp\left(\frac{nh\lambda_1 + nh\lambda_2}{1 - h\lambda_1}\right) \le \exp\left(\frac{t^*\lambda_1 + t^*\lambda_2}{1 - h\lambda_1}\right).$$
(1.47)

To complete the proof, we must show that

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{ch^2}{1 - h\lambda_1} \frac{1}{\frac{1 + h\lambda_2}{1 - h\lambda_1} - 1} \left[ \exp\left(\frac{t^*\lambda_1 + t^*\lambda_2}{1 - h\lambda_1}\right) - 1 \right] = 0.$$
(1.48)

First, we note that

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \exp\left(\frac{t^* \lambda_1 + t^* \lambda_2}{1 - h \lambda_1}\right) - 1 = \exp\left(t^* \lambda_1 + t^* \lambda_2\right) - 1.$$
(1.49)

Now we must handle the other term in (1.48).

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{ch^2}{(1 - h\lambda_1)\left(\frac{1 + h\lambda_2}{1 - h\lambda_1} - 1\right)} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{ch^2}{(1 + h\lambda_2) - (1 - h\lambda_1)}$$
$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{ch^2}{h(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)}$$
$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{ch}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}$$
$$= 0$$
(1.50)

where (1.50) comes from choosing  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  so that  $\lambda_2 \neq \lambda_1$ .

### Chapter 2: Numerical Scheme

In this chapter, we will use the results built in the previous chapter to derive a numerical scheme for solving (1.15) numerically. Once we have developed a scheme, we will discuss how the scheme was implemented in software as well as a software package developed to ease the use of the solvers which implement the scheme.

#### 2.1 Derivation

Let  $\kappa_{\ell}$  and  $w_{\ell}$  denote the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the Laplacian operator over the unit cube in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with periodic boundary conditions. In Section 1.3.1 we saw that these functions satisfied the hypothesis of Theorem 1 which yielded an approximate solution to (1.15), (1.28). This approximation is still exact in t, so here we apply the time stepping method (1.36) to approximate in t as well.

To this end, we must first discretize t. Pick h to be the discretization width. We discretize the interval [0,T] by  $D_{T,h} := [0, \lceil T/h \rceil] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ . Given  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0, k \in D_{T,h}$ , let  $u_N^k \approx u_N(kh)$  via some time stepping method.

In order to use the time stepping method (1.36), we must first split the right side of (1.15) into a linear part  $f_1$  and a Lipschitz part  $f_2$ . Define

$$f_1(u_N^{k+1}) = -\Delta(\Delta u_N^{k+1}) - \lambda \sigma(u_N^{k+1} - \mu)$$
$$f_2(u_N^k) = \lambda \Delta((u_N^k)^3 - u_N^k)$$

From the definition of  $u_N^k$ , (1.28) and Theorem 4, for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , there exist  $a_\ell^k$  and  $b_\ell^k$  such

that

$$u_N^k = \sum_{|\ell|_\infty \le N} a_\ell^k w_\ell$$
, and  $(u_N^k)^3 - u_N^k \approx \sum_{|\ell|_\infty \le N} b_\ell^k w_\ell$ . (2.1)

The fact that the  $w_{\ell}$  are eigenfunctions couples with (2.1) to yield

$$f_{1}(u_{N}^{k+1}) = -\Delta \left( \Delta \sum_{|\ell|_{\infty} \leq N} a_{\ell}^{k+1} w_{\ell} \right) - \lambda \sigma \left( \sum_{|\ell|_{\infty} \leq N} a_{\ell}^{k+1} w_{\ell} - \mu \right)$$

$$= -\sum_{|\ell|_{\infty} \leq N} \kappa_{\ell}^{2} a_{\ell}^{k+1} w_{\ell} - \lambda \sigma \left( \sum_{|\ell|_{\infty} \leq N} a_{\ell}^{k+1} w_{\ell} - \mu \right)$$

$$f_{2}(u_{N}^{k}) = \lambda \Delta ((u_{N}^{k})^{3} - u_{N}^{k})$$

$$= \lambda \Delta \left( \sum_{|\ell|_{\infty} \leq N} b_{\ell}^{k} w_{\ell} \right)$$

$$= \lambda \sum_{|\ell|_{\infty} \leq N} \kappa_{k} b_{\ell}^{k} w_{\ell}.$$
(2.3)

We now apply the semi-implicit method (1.36) to  $u_N^k$ .

$$u_N^{k+1} = u_N^k + hf_1(u_N^{k+1}) + hf_2(u_N^k)$$

$$\sum_{|\ell|_{\infty} \leq N} a_\ell^{k+1} w_\ell = \sum_{|\ell|_{\infty} \leq N} a_\ell^k w_\ell - h \left[ \sum_{|\ell|_{\infty} \leq N} \kappa_\ell^2 a_\ell^{k+1} w_\ell + \lambda \sigma \left( \sum_{|\ell|_{\infty} \leq N} a_\ell^{k+1} w_\ell - \mu \right) \right]$$

$$+ h \left[ \lambda \sum_{|\ell|_{\infty} \leq N} \kappa_\ell b_\ell^k w_\ell \right]$$
(2.4)

where (2.4) comes from substituting (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3). Since the  $w_{\ell}$  are orthonormal,

we can look at each term within the summation individually. For  $\ell \neq 0$ , we have

$$a_{\ell}^{k+1} = a_{\ell}^{k} - h \left[ \kappa_{\ell}^{2} a_{\ell}^{k+1} + \lambda \sigma a_{\ell}^{k+1} \right] + h \lambda \kappa_{\ell} b_{\ell}^{k}$$
$$a_{\ell}^{k+1} = \frac{a_{\ell}^{k} + h \lambda \kappa_{\ell} b_{\ell}^{k}}{1 + h \kappa_{\ell}^{2} + h \lambda \sigma}.$$
(2.5)

In the case that  $\ell = 0$ , we must refer back to the integral constraint (1.3). For all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , we have

$$\mu = \int_{\Omega} u_N^k$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \sum_{|\ell|_{\infty} \le N} a_{\ell}^k w_{\ell} \qquad (2.6)$$

$$= \sum_{|\ell|_{\infty} \le N} a_{\ell}^k \int_{\Omega} w_{\ell}$$

$$= a_0^k \qquad (2.7)$$

where the equality in (2.6) comes from (2.1) and the equality in (2.7) comes from the fact that

$$\int_{\Omega} w_{\ell} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } \ell = \mathbf{0} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

# 2.2 Implementation in C

In this section we discuss how the numerical scheme in section 2.1 was implemented in software. We chose the C programming language to accomplish this as C allows one to write code that is both efficient and readable. Below is the algorithm which was implemented.

1. Compute a random initial condition via the Mersenne Twister [10].

- 2. Compute the fast Fourier transform of this initial condition and store it as  $a_{\ell}^0$ .
- 3. Compute  $b_{\ell}^k$  from  $a_{\ell}^k$  for each  $\ell$  via the fast Fourier transform.
- 4. Compute  $a_{\ell}^{k+1}$  for each  $\ell$  via (2.5).
- 5. Goto Step 3 until k is sufficiently large.

In order to use the fast Fourier transform, we must first discretize our domain. Given N, we discretize the *d*-dimensional unit cube by  $X = x_{\ell}$  where, for all  $\ell \in \{0, 1, ..., N-1\}^d$ ,

$$x_{\ell} = \left(\frac{\ell_0}{N}, \frac{\ell_1}{N}, \dots, \frac{\ell_d}{N}\right).$$
(2.8)

This will allow us to describe what we mean when we say compute the fast Fourier transform in the next section. To see how this algorithm was implemented, see Appendix C.

#### 2.2.1 Fast Fourier Transform

Here we describe how we use the fast Fourier transform to compute the  $b_{\ell}^k$  in part 3 of the above algorithm. The data we store are the Fourier coefficients of  $u_N^k$ , i.e.,  $a_{\ell}^k$ . In order to compute  $(u_N^k)^3 - u_N^k$ , we must first transform the  $a_{\ell}^k$  to  $u_N^k(x_{\ell})$ . Which we do for  $\ell \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 3N - 1\}$  as it will allow us to compute  $(u_N^k)^3$  exactly due to Theorem 4. This is done using the Fastest Fourier Transform in the West library (FFTW) which computes the inverse Fourier transform, i.e., for  $m \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 3N - 1\}^d$  [6]

$$Y_{m} = \sum_{|\ell|_{\infty} < 3N} a_{\ell}^{k} e^{2\pi i/3N \sum_{j=1}^{d} m_{j}\ell_{j}}$$
$$= \sum_{|\ell|_{\infty} < 3N} a_{\ell}^{k} e^{2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^{d} x_{m_{j}}\ell_{j}}$$
$$= u_{N}^{k}(x_{m}).$$
(2.9)

We then use FFTW to compute the Fourier transform of  $(u_N^k(x_m))^3 - u_N^k(x_m)$  to obtain  $b_\ell^k$ [6].

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\ell} &= \sum_{|m|_{\infty} < 3N} ((u_{N}^{k}(x_{m}))^{3} - u_{N}^{k}(x_{m}))e^{-2\pi i/3N\sum_{j=1}^{d}m_{j}\ell_{j}} \\ &= \sum_{|m|_{\infty} < 3N} b_{\ell}^{k} w_{\ell}(x_{m})e^{-2\pi i/3N\sum_{j=1}^{d}m_{j}\ell_{j}} \end{aligned}$$
(2.10)  
$$&= \sum_{|m|_{\infty} < 3N} b_{\ell}^{k}e^{2\pi i\sum_{j=1}^{d}(x_{m_{j}}\ell_{j}) - 2\pi i/3N\sum_{j=1}^{d}m_{j}\ell_{j}} \\ &= \sum_{|m|_{\infty} < 3N} b_{\ell}^{k} \\ &= (3N)^{d}b_{\ell}^{k} \end{aligned}$$
(2.11)

where the equality in (2.10) comes from Theorem 4.

In this way we are able to leverage FFTW to compute our nonlinearity. However, we have glossed over how we managed to sum  $a_{\ell}^k$  over  $\ell \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 3N - 1\}^d$  when we have only defined  $a_{\ell}^k$  for  $\ell \in \{0, 1, \ldots, N - 1\}^d$ . Unfortunately, due to a symmetry condition given for the fast Fourier transform of real data, we can't simply append zeros to the places where  $a_{\ell}^k$  is not defined [6]. That would break the symmetry condition, so we need to pad  $a_{\ell}^k$  more carefully which is discussed in section 2.2.2.

#### 2.2.2 Zero Padding

In this section we describe how the C array which contained the  $a_{\ell}^{k}$  was padded with zeros so to preserve symmetry. However, we must first describe how data is input and output in FFTW for transforms of real data.

For the inverse Fourier transform, FFTW is given an array of  $N^{d-1}(N/2+1)$  complex numbers and an array of  $N^d$  double precision numbers. The inverse Fourier transform of the array of complex numbers is then computed and stored in the array of double precision numbers. For the Fourier transform, the same arrays are given, but the Fourier transform of the array of double precision numbers is computed and stored in the array of complex numbers. The reason FFTW only needs an array of  $N^{d-1}(N/2 + 1)$  complex numbers instead of  $N^d$  is because FFTW uses the symmetry condition to determine the missing entries [6].

The symmetry condition can be thought of as each element of the array has an element in the opposite corner which is its conjugate, and is a result of the fact that u must be real [6]. More precisely, define

$$f(n) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 0\\ N - n & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The symmetry condition is given by

$$a_{(\ell_1,\ell_2,\dots,\ell_d)} = \overline{a_{(f(\ell_1),f(\ell_2),\dots,f(\ell_d))}}.$$
(2.12)

If (2.12) is broken, then the result of the transform will no longer be real, so in order to guarantee that this condition is not broken, we map corners to corners and place zeros everywhere else. To see this stated more precisely, please see Appendix C, specifically, the function a\_copy.

#### 2.3 Implementation in Python

Here we describe a Python software package which was developed to provide an easy to use interface to the C solver discussed in section 2.2. The goal was to have an interface which would provide the following features.

- 1. Automated plotting of results
- 2. Save Run to disk

#### 3. Restart runs

4. Start multiple runs at once and take advantage of all available processors

Python was chosen since features 2 and 4 could easily be accomplished using the Python standard library, and feature 1 could be achieved via the matplotlib and pyvtk libraries [8, 12, 13]. Also, by choosing Python, we are able to easily document and test the code by using doctests to see this, please look to appendix B [13].

In order to achieve features 2 and 3, a Path class was created with attributes which would contain all the data generated by a solver such as the Fourier coefficients, energies and norms. This class also contains a save method which uses pickling, a part of the Python standard library, to save the entire object. In order to restart a run, this object is simply reloaded, has its timeEnd attribute increased and the run continued via the evolve method. Feature 1 was accomplished by looping through the data saved in the Path object and using either matplotlib or pyvtk to save images or vtk files of the plots generated by the data, respectively. To achieve feature 4, the runBuilder method was created. This method essentially takes a list of python dictionaries which contain parameters for runs which should be performed. Then, the program uses the multiprocessing library to divide these runs over several processes. Each of these processes then creates a subprocess which runs the solver with the desired parameters, saves the created Path object and plots the results. In this way, one is able to give the computer a large amount of instructions for performing runs with very short scripts. To see examples of such scripts, please see appendix B.1.

### Chapter 3: Results and Conclusions

Now that we have implemented the scheme derived in section 2.1, we can use it to examine some previously obtained results from the literature. Specifically, we will attempt to create a double gyroid in the 3D case as in Teramoto and Nishiura, and examine the phase diagram for the 2D case presented by Choksi et al. [2,14].

## 3.1 Creating a Double Gyroid

In their 2002 paper, Teramoto and Nishiura present results which confirm the existence of a double gyroid (see Figure 3.1) structure as an equilibrium phase configuration under certain choices of  $\mu$ ,  $\lambda$ ,  $\sigma$  [14]. Unfortunately, the numerical scheme used to generate these results was a nonstandard technique they were not independently reproduced using standard numerical techniques. We have attempted to reproduce the double gyroid structure with the following parameters taken from [15].

$$\mu = 0.2, \lambda = \frac{2}{(0.03)^2} \text{ and } \sigma = 0.03 \cdot 2^{11} (1 - \mu^2)^{-2}.$$
 (3.1)

Unfortunately, due to stability constraints and the inherently long runtime (approximately 24 hours per simulation time unit) necessary to obtain solutions to 3D questions, we are only able to produce the intermediate structure, Figure 3.2 which looks close enough to a double gyroid that we can hope that this simulation will result in a double gyroid equilibrium state. The runtime issue was compounded by the fact that in this model, suboptimal states have energy which is very close to the energy of optimal states [3], so a long simulation time is necessary to reach the stable equilibrium state. This fact can be seen in the energy decay, Figure 3.3, which after a very rapid initial decay, flattens out dramatically. However, it is



Figure 3.1: A Double Gyroid

also possible that the approximation created by the Galerkin system we use is leading our solution into an equilibrium for our approximate system that is not an equilibrium for the physical system. Future work would need to verify that this is not the case.

# 3.2 Examining a Phase Diagram

In the 2D case of the model, given  $\mu$ ,  $\lambda$  and  $\sigma = 1$ , there is either one of two stable equilibrium solutions or no stable solution. These two stable states are hexagonally packed circles and lamellae (see Figures 3.4 and 3.5). In a paper recently submitted by Choksi, et al., they
make the following statement about the phase diagram for the 2D problem [2].

Define 
$$\gamma := \sqrt{\lambda}$$
, and set  $\sigma = 1$ . If

$$\gamma = \frac{2}{1 - 3\frac{\mu^2}{\beta^2}},\tag{3.2}$$

the lamellae state is stable provided

$$0 \le \beta < \frac{1}{29}\sqrt{551 - 174\sqrt{6}} \tag{3.3}$$

and the hexagonally packed circular state is stable provided

$$\frac{1}{29}\sqrt{551 - 174\sqrt{6}} < \beta < 3\sqrt{\frac{5}{37}}.$$
(3.4)

This result is accompanied by some numerical simulations which verify the result; however, these numerical simulations were done with a nonstandard scheme where noise was added to coerce solutions away from unstable equilibria [2].

In order to evaluate the efficacy of this scheme, we performed simulations using randomly chosen  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  which satisfied either

$$\beta = -.001 + \frac{1}{29}\sqrt{551 - 174\sqrt{6}},$$
  

$$\beta = .001 + \frac{1}{29}\sqrt{551 - 174\sqrt{6}},$$
  
or  $\beta = -.001 + 3\sqrt{\frac{5}{37}},$ 
(3.5)

as well as (3.2).  $\sigma$  was set to 1 and N was set to 40 with 3N Fourier coefficients used to compute the nonlinearity. In order to recreate the results of Choksi et al., we changed our

	Predicted Lamellae	Predicted Circles
Actual Lamellae	8	9
Actual Circles	4	5

Table 3.1: The Predicted Lamellae column represents simulations that took place with  $\mu, \sigma$ and  $\lambda$  which should have generated lamellae as predicted by Choksi et al. whereas the Predicted Circles column represents simulations that took place with  $\mu, \sigma$  and  $\lambda$  which should have generated hexagonally packed circles as predicted by Choksi et al.

domain from the unit square to the *L*-square with  $L = 4\pi$  for  $\sqrt{2} \le \lambda < \sqrt{10}$ ,  $L = 2\pi$  for  $\sqrt{10} \le \lambda < 5$  and  $L = \pi$  for  $\lambda > 5$ . This was accomplished by rescaling  $\lambda, \sigma$  and t. Since the solver discussed in section 2.2 is relatively efficient, we were able to compute solutions at  $t = \frac{100000}{\lambda}$  with a step size of  $h = \frac{0.1}{\lambda(1+\lambda^{\frac{3}{4}})}$  which removed the need for using a nonstandard scheme to find stable solutions. The results of these simulations are summarized in Table 3.1. Based on these results, it seems as if the numerical scheme used in Choksi et al. had some effect on the results presented there in the sense that the scheme coerced solutions into unstable equilibria.

## 3.3 Conclusion

In this thesis, we introduced the Ohta-Kawasaki functional, used a gradient flow argument to derive an evolution equation for this functional, developed a numerical scheme for solving this evolution equation, implemented this scheme in software and used this implementation to examine a pair of previous results. The results of Teramoto and Nishiura were partially verified, but due to the shallow energy decay could not be completely verified, while the results of Choksi et al. were shown to have some dependence on the numerical scheme used.

In future work, it would be interesting to see the results of Teramoto and Nishiura fully verified as well as the results of Choksi et al. more fully explored.



Figure 3.2:  $\mu, \lambda$  and  $\sigma$  as in (3.1), t = 15. The simulation was carried out with a step-size of  $h = 10^{-5}$  for  $t \in [0, 14]$  and a step-size of  $h = 10^{-6}$  for  $t \in (14, 15]$ . N was set to 64 for the simulation. Due to memory constraints, the nonlinearity was approximated using 2N Fourier coefficients instead of the 3N required to compute it exactly.



Figure 3.3: A typical energy decay for the 3D case with  $\mu, \lambda$  and  $\sigma$  as in (3.1).



Figure 3.4: Hexagonally packed circles in the 2D case.



Figure 3.5: Lamellae in the 2D case.

## **Appendix A: Notation**

- $\mathbb{N}_0$  The set  $\{0, 1, 2, ... \}$ .
- $|\cdot|$  The Euclidean norm in  $\mathbb{R}^d$
- $|\cdot|_{\infty}$  The max norm in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .
- $|| \cdot ||_B$  The norm in the Banach space B.
- $L^2(\Omega)$  The space of functions which are square integrable in the Lebeguse sense.
- $H^1_{\text{per}}(\Omega)$  The space of functions which are weakly differentiable and periodic over  $\Omega$ .
- $\Delta$  The Laplacian operator.
- $\overline{x}$  The complex conjugate of x.
- $(\cdot, \cdot)_H$  The inner product in the Hilbert space H.
- 0 The zero vector in some space (the space should be clear from the context).

## Appendix B: AISE

## B.0.1 util.py

- 1 import numpy, transFunc, plotters
- 2 import sys, subprocess, cPickle, gzip, os, copy, multiprocessing, functools
- 3 from math import sqrt, pi
- 4 from itertools import product
- 5
- 6 # These dictionaries map the BCs specified to the functions which are pertient

BC\_funcs = { 'neu1 ' : transFunc.matlab\_dct , 7'neu2' : transFunc.dct2, 8 9 'neu3' : transFunc.dct3, 10 'per1' : numpy.fft.rfft , 11 'per2' : numpy.fft.rfft2, 12'per3' : numpy.fft.rfftn , 13'dir1' : transFunc.matlab\_dst, 'dir2' : transFunc.dst2 14} 1516BC\_ifuncs = { 'neu1' : transFunc.matlab\_idct , 'neu2' : transFunc.idct2, 1718'neu3' : transFunc.idct3, 'per1' : numpy.fft.irfft, 19'per2' : numpy.fft.irfft2, 20

21'per3' : numpy.fft.irfftn , 22'dir1' : transFunc.matlab\_idst, 'dir2' : transFunc.idst2 23} 2425**class** EqSoln(object): 26"""A class which represents an equilibrium solution to the 27diblock28copolymer model. When instantiated, the eigenfunctions will automatically29be computed and stored. 30 31The initial perturbed solutions should be mass preserving >>> eqn = EqSoln(numpy. array([0,0]), lambd=400., sigma=0., mu32= 0.)33>>> constant = 0.34>>> for path in eqn.paths: constant += numpy.abs(path.initial [0]) 35. . . >>> constant == 0.3637True,, ,, ,, 3839def \_\_init\_\_(self, soln, lambd, sigma, mu, BCs='neu1', 40 perturb=.001, solver='neu1', paths = False): 4142self.\_soln = soln

```
self._lambda
                            = lambd
43
            self._sigma
44
                            = sigma
45
            self._mu
                            = mu
46
            self._perturb = perturb
            self._solver
                            = solver
47
            self._BCs
48
                            = BCs
49
            if not paths:
50
                self._computeEigenfunctions()
51
52
            else: self._paths = copy.deepcopy(paths)
53
54
        @property
        def soln(self):
55
            """ The equilibrium solution """
56
            return self._soln.copy()
57
58
        @property
59
        def lambd(self):
60
            """ The lambda value for this equilibrium solution"""
61
            return self._lambda
62
63
        @property
64
65
        def sigma(self):
            """ The sigma value for this equilibrium solution"""
66
67
            return self._sigma
```

68	
69	@property
70	def mu(self):
71	"""The mu value for this equilibrium solution"""
72	return selfmu
73	
74	@property
75	def perturb(self):
76	"""The pertubation factor for computing the perturbed
	equilibrium
77	solutions """
78	return selfperturb
79	
80	@property
81	def solver(self):
82	"""The path to the timestepper"""
83	return selfsolver
84	
85	@solver.setter
86	def solver(self, value):
87	self.solver = value
88	for evolution in selfeigenfunctions:
89	evolution.solver = value
90	
91	@property

```
92
        def paths(self):
             """A list containing the unstable paths created by the
93
             eigenvalues/functions of the equilibrium solution."""
94
95
96
             return copy.copy(self._paths)
97
        @property
98
        def BCs(self):
99
             """The Boundary conditions. Available choices:
100
                 `neu1'
101
                 `neu2 '
102
                 'neu3'
103
                  'per1'
104
                  per2
105
106
                  'per3'
                  dir1
107
                  'dir2 '"""
108
             return self._BCs
109
110
        def _computeEigenfunctions(self):
111
112
113
             self._paths = []
             dot = numpy.dot
114
115
             diag = numpy. diag
             eig = numpy. linalg. eig
116
```

117	soln = self.soln
118	sigma = self.sigma
119	lambd = self.lambd
120	
121	N = soln.shape[0]
122	v = numpy. eye(N)
123	A = numpy. zeros([N,N], numpy. complex128)
124	
125	$ft = BC_funcs[self.BCs]$
126	rt = BC_ifuncs[self.BCs]
127	
128	for $j$ in range(N):
129	A[:, j] = 3*N*ft(((rt(soln))**2))
130	*(rt(v[:,j])))
131	
132	kappa = $pi**2 * numpy. linspace(1,N,N)**2$
133	$mat = -diag(kappa * * 2, 0) + lambd * diag(kappa, 0) \setminus$
134	$-\text{lambd}*\text{dot}(\text{diag}(\text{kappa},0),A) \setminus$
135	-sigma * v
136	D,V = eig(mat)
137	
138	for i in range(len(D)):
139	$\#Only\ examine\ unstable\ equilibria$
140	if $D[i] \ll 0$ : continue
141	

142	eigfunc = V[:, i]
143	$\operatorname{eigfunc}[0] = 0.0$
144	eigval = D[i]
145	perturbedEigfunc = selfperturb * eigfunc + self.
	_soln
146	selfpaths.append(Path(initial=perturbedEigfunc,
147	eigval=eigval,
148	BCs=self.BCs))
149	
150	def evolve(self, force=False):
151	""" This function calls the evolve method for the Paths
	which have not
152	been previously evolved. The evolve method is called in
	parallel on as
153	many processors that are available. If force is True, all
	Paths in
154	self.paths are evolved."""
155	
156	paths = selfpaths
157	
158	unsolved = $[]$
159	for path in paths:
160	if force:
161	path.solved = False
162	<pre>if not path.solved:</pre>

163unsolved.append(path) 164for path in unsolved: 165166paths.remove(path) 167168= multiprocessing.cpu\_count() ncpus 169pool = multiprocessing.Pool(processes=ncpus) 170evolver = **lambda** path : path.evolve() solved = pool.map(evolver, unsolved) 171172paths += solved 173174**def** plot(self, rootdir): """ Recursively plot the paths of this equilibrium 175solution in the given root directory.""" 176177178paths = self.paths179180for i in range(len(paths)): filepath = rootdir + '/%d' % i 181182paths[i].plot(filepath) 183184**def** save(self, filename): """Save this EqSoln to a file.""" 185outfile = gzip.open(filename + '.gz', 'w') 186

187	cPickle.dump(	self, out	file)
188	outfile.close	()	
189			
190	<pre>class Path(object):</pre>		
191	""""A class which	will store	the information about the
	evolution of se	ome	
192	initial condition		
193			
194	An example of path	h creation	with 2D periodic boundary
	conditions. No	te that	
195	numPlots $specifie$ .	s the num	ber of snapshots to generate other
	$than \ the \ final$		
196	configuration. In	this case	, the two snapshots generated are
	$the \ initial$		
197	condition and the	final sol	ution.
198	>>> path = Path(s	olver =	'per2',
199		lambd =	= 1.0,
200		sigma =	= 1.0,
201		nu =	= .1,
202		stepSize =	= 1e-2,
203		BCs =	= 'per2',
204		numPlots =	= 1,
205		timeEnd =	= .1
206	····	)	
207	>>> path.evolve()	#doctest:	+ELLIPSIS

208< --  $main_{--}$ . Path object at 0x... >209>>> len(path.evolution) 210 $\mathcal{2}$ 211212Another example; this time with 3D periodic boundary conditions. >>> path = Path(solver) = 'per3',213214lambd = 1.0, . . . 215= 1.0, . . . sigma216= .1,mu. . . 217stepSize = 1e-2,. . . 218BCs= '*per3*', . . . 219numPlots = 1, . . . timeEnd = .1220. . . ) 221. . . 222 >>> path.evolve() #doctest: +ELLIPSIS 223< --  $main_{--}$ . Path object at 0x... >224>>> len(path.evolution)  $\mathcal{2}$ 225226227The energies are computed the first time they are needed, and there will be 228the same amount of energies as there will snapshots in evolution229>>> path.computeEnergies()

		、 、	
230	>>> len(path.en	ergies) =	= len(path.evolution)
231	True		
232			
233	Also, the times	are comp	uted when the energies are computed,
	for ease when	ı	
234	plotting energie	28.	
235	>>> len(path.en	ergies) ==	= len(path.times)
236	True		
237			
238	Also, if the nur	nber of p	lots exceeds the amount of time steps
	that will		
239	occur, the numb	er of time	e steps should be used instead.
240	>>> path = Path	solver	= 'per2',
241		lambd	= 1.0,
242		sigma	= 1.0,
243		mu	= .1,
244		stepSize	= 1e-2,
245		BCs	= 'per2',
246		numPlots	= 100,
247		timeEnd	= .1
248		)	
249	>>> path.evolve	() #docte	st: +ELLIPSIS
250	<mainpath< td=""><td>object at</td><td><math>0 x \ldots &gt;</math></td></mainpath<>	object at	$0 x \ldots >$
251	>>> len(path.ev	olution)	
252	11		

,, ,, ,,

255	<b>def</b> init(se	elf,	
256	iı	nitial =	None,
257	ei	gval =	None,
258	SC	olver =	'per2',
259	er	volution $=$	None,
260	SC	olved =	False,
261	nc	orms =	None,
262	ет	nergies =	None,
263	В	Cs =	'per2',
264	la	mbd =	500.0,
265	si	gma =	0.0,
266	m	ı =	0.0,
267	st	epSize =	1e-5,
268	ti	meEnd =	0.1,
269	nı	imPlots =	100,
270	CE	iche =	None):
271			
272	selfiniti	al = in	itial
273	selflambo	la = lan	nbd
274	selfsigma	= sig	gma
275	selfmu	= mu	
276	selfeigva	al = eig	gval
277	selfsolve	er = so	lver

278	selfsolved = solved	
279	selfBCs = BCs	
280	<pre>selfstepSize = stepSize</pre>	е
281	selftimeEnd = timeEnd	
282	selfnumPlots = numPlot	s
283	selfcache = cache	
284	selftimes = None	
285		
286	if norms == None:	
287	selfnorms = []	
288	else:	
289	selfnorms = norms	
290		
291	if energies == None:	
292	<pre>selfenergies = []</pre>	
293	else:	
294	<pre>selfenergies = ener</pre>	gies
295		
296	$\mathbf{if}$ evolution == None:	
297	selfevolution = []	
298	else:	
299	selfevolution = evo	lution
300		
301	@property	
302	<pre>def initial(self):</pre>	

""" The initial condition""" if self.\_initial != None: **return** self.\_initial.copy() else: return None @property **def** eigval(self): """ The eigenvalue which corresponds to this path.""" return self.\_eigval @property **def** solver(self): """ The path to the timestepper, solver.""" return self.\_solver @property **def** evolution(self): """ The evolution as calculated by solver""" **return** copy.copy(self.\_evolution) @property 

```
328
        def solved(self):
             """ True if the evolution has been calculated."""
329
330
331
             return self._solved
332
        @solved.setter
333
334
        def solved (self, value):
335
             self.\_solved = value
336
337
        @property
338
        def norms(self):
             """A list of the norms of the fourier coefficients as
339
                computed by
             solver."""
340
341
             return copy.copy(self._norms)
342
343
        @property
344
        def energies(self):
345
             """A list of the energies computed by the solver ten
346
                times per plot
             step."""
347
348
             return copy.copy(self._energies)
349
350
        @property
```

351	def times(self):
352	"""A list of times at which the evolutions and energies
	were
353	recorded."""
354	
355	<pre>return copy.copy(selftimes)</pre>
356	
357	@property
358	def BCs(self):
359	"""The Boundary conditions. Available choices:
360	'neu1 '
361	'neu2 '
362	'neu3 '
363	'per1'
364	'per2'
365	'per3 '
366	'dir1 '
367	'dir2 '"""
368	return selfBCs
369	
370	@property
371	def lambd(self):
372	"""lambda"""
373	return selflambda
374	

375	@property
376	def sigma(self):
377	""" sigma """
378	return selfsigma
379	
380	@property
381	def mu(self):
382	"""mu"""
383	return selfmu
384	
385	@property
386	def timeEnd(self):
387	"""End time of simulation. This will be shifted if
	continuing a run so
388	that the entire simulation time is timeEnd."""
389	return selftimeEnd
390	
391	@timeEnd.setter
392	def timeEnd(self,value):
393	selftimeEnd = value
394	
395	@property
396	def numPlots(self):
397	"""The number of plots to be generated during this
	evolution. If

398	continuing a run, this number of plots will be generated
	for the
399	continuation."""
400	return selfnumPlots
401	
402	@numPlots.setter
403	def numPlots(self, value):
404	selfnumPlots = value
405	
406	@property
407	def cache(self):
408	"""The location of the cache directory for the evolution.
	If set to
409	none, the evolution is not cached. An absolute path is
	necessary if you
410	plan on opening the same evolution from different working
	directories."""
411	return selfcache
412	
413	@cache.setter
414	def cache(self, value):
415	selfcache = value
416	
417	@property
418	def stepSize(self):

419	"""The stepSize is the size of the time step used by the
	solver. The
420	default, $1e-5$ should give stability for most conditions
	on the DBCP
421	model."""
422	return selfstepSize
423	
424	@stepSize.setter
425	def stepSize(self, value):
426	selfstepSize = value
427	
428	def evolve(self):
429	"""Uses solver to evolve. The path is returned after
	evolution. If
430	solved, the last snapshot of the evolution is used as the
	initial
431	condition."""
432	
433	<pre>if self.cache != None and not os.path.exists(self.cache):</pre>
434	os.mkdir(selfcache)
435	
436	assert <b>not</b> (self.evolution == [] <b>and</b> self.solved)
437	
438	if self.solved:
439	if self.cache != None:

cacheLoc = len(self.evolution) 440 = \_loadCache(cacheLoc -1, self.cache) 441 snap 442initial = snap.soln 443timeShift = snap.time444else: initial = self.evolution [-1].soln 445446 timeShift = self.evolution [-1].time 447else: initial = self.\_initial 448 449self.\_evolution = [] timeShift = 0450451cacheLoc = 0452453assert (self.timeEnd - timeShift) > 0 if self.timeEnd - timeShift == 0: 454455return self 456process = subprocess.Popen([self.\_solver], 457shell=False, 458stdin=subprocess.PIPE, 459460stdout=subprocess.PIPE) 461 $stdin = str(self.mu) + '_, '_$ 462 $+ \operatorname{str}(\operatorname{self.lambd}) + '_'$ 463464 $+ \operatorname{str}(\operatorname{self.sigma}) + '_{"}'$ 

+ str(self.stepSize) + '\_'\ 465+ str(self.timeEnd - timeShift) + '\_'\ 466467+ str(self.numPlots) 468if initial != None: 469stdin  $+= ' \ln n'$ 470471for element in initial.flatten(): stdin += '%g\_%g\n' % (element.real, element.imag) 472else: 473stdin += '\n0'; 474475476message = process.communicate(stdin)[0]lines = message.split (' n')477478for line in lines: 479480 481line = line.lower()data = line.split()482483# There needs to be a meaning when these are evaled 484485nan = numpy.nan486inf = numpy.infty487nannanj = numpy.nan 488if len(data) = 0: 489

490 pass 491elif data [0] = 'norm': self.\_norms.append(eval(data[1])) 492493elif data [0] = 'begin' and data [1] = 'plot': 494 $\operatorname{snap} = []$ 495496 497 elif data[0] == 'time': time = eval(data[1]) + timeShift498499elif data [0] = 'end' and data [1] = 'plot': 500501 $\operatorname{snap} = \operatorname{numpy.array}(\operatorname{snap})$ snapshot = Snapshot(time, snap)502503if self.cache != None: \_saveCache(snapshot, cacheLoc, self.cache) 504505self.\_evolution.append(cacheLoc) 506cacheLoc += 1507else: self.\_evolution.append(snapshot) 508509510else: snap.append(eval(line)) 511512self.solved = True513514

return self 515516**def** plot(self, filepath): 517""" Plots each snapshot using matplotlib for 1D and 2D  $\,$ 518plots and vtk for 3D plots.in the given directory.""" 519520521if not os.path.exists(filepath): os.mkdir(filepath) 522523else: print >> sys.stderr , "Warning:\_Plot\_directories\_exist 524" 525526if len(self.evolution) > 0: if self.cache == None: 527528 $\dim = \operatorname{len}(\operatorname{self.evolution}[0].\operatorname{soln.shape})$ 529else:  $\operatorname{snap} = \operatorname{loadCache}(\operatorname{self.evolution}[0], \operatorname{self.cache})$ 530dim = len(snap.soln.shape)531if dim == 1: 532533plotter = plotters.plot if dim == 2: 534plotter = plotters.pcolor 535536else: plotter = plotters.plotVTK 537

539for snapshot in self.evolution: if self.cache != None: 540snapshot = \_loadCache(snapshot, self.cache) 541542543soln = snapshot.soln544time = snapshot.time545u = prePlot(soln, self.BCs)plotter (u, filepath + '/%.08 f'% time) 546547**def** computeEnergies(self): 548""" Compute the energy for each snapshot in evolution. 549Currently, this doesn't work for certain boundaries or dimensions !. """ 550551552if not self.solved: 553return else: 554self.\_energies = [] 555 $self._times = []$ 556**if** self.BCs[:3] == 'per': 557if self.cache != None: 558firstPlot = -loadCache(self.evolution[0])559self.cache) 560else:

538

561					firstPlot = self.evolution[0]
562				shaj	pe = firstPlot.soln.shape
563				kap	pa = _genEigenvalues(shape, self.BCs)
564					
565				for	<pre>snap in self.evolution:</pre>
566					
567	#FIXME	none	of	this	works for 1D due to the choice for $N$
568	#FIXME	none	of	this	works for neumann boundaries
569					if self.cache != None:
570					<pre>snap = _loadCache(snap, self.cache)</pre>
571					
572					a = snap.soln
573					d = a.ndim
574					N = a.shape[0]
575					
576					u = prePlot(a,
577					BCs=self.BCs,
578					resolution = 3*N
579					)
580					w = (1 - u * * 2) * * 2 / 4.
581					$b = BC_funcs[self.BCs](w) / N**d$
582					
583					energy = 0
584					coords = [range(i) for i in shape]
585					<pre>coords = product(*coords)</pre>

586	for coord in coords:
587	if any(coord) = 0:
588	energy += b[coord]
589	<b>elif</b> coord $[-1]$ == shape $[-1]-1$ :
590	energy += a[coord] * numpy.conj(a[
	$\operatorname{coord}]) \setminus$
591	*(kappa[coord] / self.lambd
592	+ self.sigma * .5 / kappa[
	$\operatorname{coord}])$
593	else:
594	energy $+= 2 * a [coord] * numpy.conj(a$
	$[ \ \mathrm{coord} ] ) \setminus$
595	*(kappa[coord] / self.lambd
596	+ self.sigma * .5 / kappa[
	coord])
597	
598	<pre>selfenergies.append(energy)</pre>
599	selftimes.append(snap.time)
600	else:
601	raise NotImplementedError
602	
603	
604	
605	def save(self, filename):
606	"""Save this Path to a file."""

607	outfile = gzip.open(filename + '.gz', 'w')
608	cPickle.dump(self, outfile)
609	outfile.close()
610	
611	<b>class</b> Snapshot(object):
612	""" This class will contain two attributes. Namely, time and
	solution. They
613	correspond to the time at which solver has returned values
	for a given
614	evolution """
615	
616	definit(self, time, soln):
617	selftime = time
618	selfsoln = soln
619	
620	@property
621	def time(self):
622	"""The time at which solver computed this solution
	snapshot"""
623	return selftime
624	
625	@property
626	def soln(self):
627	""" The solution which solver computed for this snapshot
	<i>n n n</i>

628	<pre>return selfsoln.copy()</pre>
629	
630	def prePlot(a, BCs='neu', resolution=256):
631	""" Computes the inverse transform of an array of fourier
	coefficients, $a$ ,
632	after padding the array so that the array length is at least
	$the \ specified$
633	resolution. This padded array is then returned.
634	
635	>>> [x,y] = numpy.mgrid[0:1:1./64,0:1:1./64]
636	>>> $u = numpy. sin(2*pi*(x+y))$
637	>>> a = BC_funcs['per2'](u) / 64**2
638	>>> u0 = prePlot(a, 'per2', 64)
639	>>> u1 = prePlot(a, 'per2', 128)
640	
641	u should be close to the ifft (fft ( $u$ ))
642	>>> $numpy. \ allclose(u, u0)$
643	True
644	
645	max/min should stay the same regardless of resolution
646	>>> numpy. allclose ([u1.max(), u1.min()], [u.max(), u.min()])
647	True
648	
649	The same should be true in 3D.
650	

651	>>> $[x, y, z] = numpy.mgrid[0:1:1./64, 0:1:1./64, 0:1:1./64]$
652	>>> $u = numpy.sin(2*pi*(x+y+z))$
653	>>> a = BC_funcs['per3'](u) / 64**3
654	>>> u0 = prePlot(a, 'per3', 64)
655	>>> u1 = prePlot(a, 'per3', 128)
656	
657	u should be close to the ifft (fft ( $u$ ))
658	>>> $numpy. \ all close(u, u0)$
659	True
660	
661	max/min should stay the same regardless of resolution
662	>>> numpy. allclose ([u1.max(),u1.min()],[u.max(),u.min()])
663	True
664	
665	It would be nice if the default resolution does not throw a
	MemoryError.
666	>>> u2 = prePlot(a, 'per3')
667	<i>n n n</i>
668	a = a.copy()
669	
670	$rt = BC_{ifuncs}[BCs]$
671	
672	for size in a.shape:
673	if resolution < size:
674	resolution $=$ size
675	$print >> sys.stderr$ , "Warning:_array_size_used_
-----	---
	$instead \_of" \setminus$
676	+" specified_resolution."
677	
678	if len(a.shape) == 1:
679	size = $a.shape[0]$
680	
681	if BCs = 'per':
682	resolution = resolution $/2 + 1$
683	
684	padsize = resolution-size
685	
686	<pre>padding = numpy.zeros(padsize)</pre>
687	<pre>aext = numpy.concatenate((a,padding))</pre>
688	
689	<pre>aext *= sqrt(resolution)</pre>
690	
691	elif len(a.shape) == 2:
692	
693	<b>if</b> BCs == 'per2':
694	if a.shape $[0]$ == resolution:
695	aext = a
696	else:
697	n2 = a.shape[0]/2
698	$\mathrm{nh}\ =\ \mathrm{a.shape}\left[1 ight]\!-\!1$

700	aext = numpy. zeros ([resolution, resolution/2 + 1])
701	, numpy. complex 128)
702	aext[:n2,:nh] = a[:n2,:nh]
703	aext[:n2,nh] = .5 * a[:n2,nh]
704	aext[-n2+1:,:nh] = a[-n2+1:,:nh]
705	aext[-n2+1:,nh] = .5 * a[-n2+1:,nh]
706	
707	<b>if</b> a.shape $[0]$ % 2 == 0:
708	aext[-n2,:nh] = .5 * a[n2,:nh]
709	aext[n2,:nh] = .5 * a[n2,:nh]
710	aext[-n2, nh] = .25 * a[n2, nh]
711	aext[n2,nh] = .25 * a[n2,nh]
712	
713	<pre>aext *= resolution**2</pre>
714	
715	else:
716	<pre>aext = numpy.zeros([resolution, resolution])</pre>
717	aext[:a.shape[0],:a.shape[1]] = a
718	aext *= resolution
719	
720	elif len(a.shape) == 3:
721	<b>if</b> BCs == 'per3':
722	assert $a.shape[0] == a.shape[1]$
723	if $a.shape[0] = resolution:$

aext = a

725 else:

726 
$$n2 = a.shape[0]/2$$

$$nh = a.shape[-1]-1$$

- aext = numpy. zeros([resolution, resolution,

resolution 
$$/2 + 1$$
]

732 
$$aext[:n2,:n2,:nh] = a[:n2,:n2,:nh]$$

733 
$$aext[-n2+1:,:n2,:nh] = a[-n2+1:,:n2,:nh]$$

734 
$$aext[:n2,-n2+1:,:nh] = a[:n2,-n2+1:,:nh]$$

735 
$$aext[-n2+1:,-n2+1:,:nh] = a[-n2+1:,-n2+1:,:nh]$$

737
$$aext [:n2,:n2,nh]$$
 $= .5 * a [:n2,:n2,nh]$ 738 $aext [-n2+1:,:n2,nh]$  $= .5 * a [-n2+1:,:n2,nh]$ 739 $aext [:n2,-n2+1:,nh]$  $= .5 * a [:n2,-n2+1:,nh]$ 

740 
$$aext[-n2+1:,-n2+1:,nh] = .5 * a[-n2+1:,-n2+1:,nh]$$

742 **if** a.shape
$$[0]$$
 %2 == 0:

743 
$$aext[-n2, :n2, :nh] = .5 * a[n2, :n2, :nh]$$

744 
$$aext[n2, :n2, :nh] = .5 * a[n2, :n2, :nh]$$

745 
$$aext[:n2,-n2,:nh] = .5 * a[:n2,n2,:nh]$$

746 
$$aext[:n2, n2, :nh] = .5 * a[:n2, n2, :nh]$$

748
$$aext[-n2, -n2+1:, :nh] = .5 * a[n2, -n2+1:, :nh]$$
749 $aext[n2, -n2+1:, :nh] = .5 * a[n2, -n2+1:, :nh]$ 750 $aext[-n2+1:, -n2, :nh] = .5 * a[-n2+1:, n2, :nh]$ 

751 
$$\operatorname{aext}[-n2+1:,n2,:nh] = .5 * a[-n2+1:,n2,:nh]$$

753
$$aext[-n2,:n2,nh] = .25 * a[n2,:n2,nh]$$
754 $aext[n2,:n2,nh] = .25 * a[n2,:n2,nh]$ 755 $aext[:n2,-n2,nh] = .25 * a[:n2,n2,nh]$ 756 $aext[:n2,n2,nh] = .25 * a[:n2,n2,nh]$ 

758	$\operatorname{aext}\left[-n2,-n2{+}1{:},nh\right]$	=	.25	*	$\mathbf{a}[\mathbf{n}2,-\mathbf{n}2{+}1{:},\mathbf{n}\mathbf{h}]$
759	$\texttt{aext}\left[\texttt{n2},-\texttt{n2}{+}\texttt{1:},\texttt{nh}\right]$	=	.25	*	a[n2,-n2+1:,nh]
760	${\rm aext}[-{\rm n2}{+1:},-{\rm n2},{\rm nh}]$	=	.25	*	$a[-n2\!+\!1\!:,n2,nh]$
761	$\operatorname{aext}\left[-\operatorname{n2}+1:,\operatorname{n2},\operatorname{nh}\right]$	=	.25	*	$a[-n2\!+\!1\!:,n2\;,nh]$

763	$\operatorname{aext}\left[-n2,n2,:nh\right]$	= .25	*	a [n2, n2, :nh]
764	$\texttt{aext}\left[\texttt{n2},\texttt{n2},:\texttt{nh}\right]$	= .25	*	$a[n2\;,n2\;,:nh]$
765	$\texttt{aext}\left[\texttt{n2},-\texttt{n2},:\texttt{nh}\right]$	= .25	*	$a[n2\;,n2\;,:nh]$
766	$\operatorname{aext}\left[-n2,-n2,:nh\right]$	= .25	*	a [n2, n2, :nh]

768	$\operatorname{aext}\left[-n2,n2,nh\right]$	= .125	$* \ a  [ n2 \ , n2 \ , nh ]$
769	$\texttt{aext}\left[n2,n2,nh\right]$	= .125	* a [n2, n2, nh]
770	$\operatorname{aext}\left[\mathrm{n2},-\mathrm{n2},\mathrm{nh}\right]$	= .125	* a[n2, n2, nh]
771	$\operatorname{aext}\left[-n2,-n2,nh\right]$	= .125	$* \ a  [ n2  , n2  , nh  ]$

771 
$$aext[-n2, -n2, nh] = .125 * a[n2, n2, nh]$$

773 aext \*= resolution \*\*3 774 else: 775776 aext = numpy.zeros ([resolution, resolution, resolution] ]) 777 aext[:a.shape[0],:a.shape[1],:a.shape[2]] = a778 aext \*= resolution \*\*(1.5)779 else: raise NotImplementedError 780781u = rt(aext)782783 784return u 785 **def** runBuilder (conditions, baseDir='.', ncpus=None): 786""" This function takes a list of lists of the form 787 788 [paramdict, number] or [paramdict, Path, dirname], 789 where paramdict is a dictionary of parameters for the creation of a path 790object and number is the number of runs to perform with these parameters. After the path objets have been created, they are evolved, 791 pickled and saved within the baseDir in parallel with ncpus workers. 792 793

794	The second format is for continuing a run. Path is a	Path
	object, $dirname$	
795	is where you'd like the plots and pickle stored, and	
	paramdict is the same	
796	as before, but can only contain attributes in the pub	lic
	interface of Path	
797	(solved, numPlots and 'timeEnd').	
798		
799	The following raises an AssertionError since timeEnd	is set
	to 0.	
800	Otherwise, it is a good example.	
801		
802	$>>>$ conditions = [[{ 'solver' : 'per2',	
803	'BCs' : 'per2',	
804	'lambd' : 500.0,	
805	'sigma' : 0.0,	
806	$\dots$ 'mu' : $0.0$ ,	
807	'numPlots ': 100,	
808	$\dots$ 'timeEnd ' : 0.0},	
809	1]]	
810	>>> try:	
811	runBuilder(conditions)	
812	except AssertionError:	
813	<i>pass</i>	
814	" " "	

815 paths = [] 816 for condition in conditions: 817 **if** type(condition [1]) == int: 818 **for** i **in** range(condition [1]): 819 path = (Path(\*\*condition[0])),820 821 i ) 822 paths.append(path) 823 else: 824 for key in condition [0]: 825 if key == 'numPlots': 826 condition [1]. numPlots = condition [0] [key]elif key == 'timeEnd': 827 828 condition [1].timeEnd = condition [0] [key]elif key == 'solved': 829 830 condition [1]. solved = condition [0] [key]831 elif key == 'stepSize': condition [1]. stepSize = condition [0] [key]832 else:833 raise Exception ('Invalid \_ paramdict \_ for \_run \_ 834 restart ') 835 paths.append((condition[1], condition[2])) 836 if ncpus == None: 837838  $ncpus = multiprocessing.cpu_count()$ 

840 pool = multiprocessing.Pool(processes=ncpus) 841 runner = functools.partial(\_runner, dirname=baseDir) 842 pool.map(runner, paths) 843 844 845 **def** \_runner(path, dirname): 846 i  $= \operatorname{path}[1]$ path = path[0]847 848 sigma = path.sigma849 lambd = path.lambd850mu = path.mu 851**if** dirname[-1] != '/': 852dirname += '/'853854 855if type(i) = str:856 dirname += i else:857 dirname += str(mu) + '\_' \ 858 $+ \operatorname{str}(\operatorname{sigma}) + '_{-}'$ 859+ str(lambd) + '/' 860 + str(i) + '/'861 862863 if not os.path.exists(dirname):

839

864		os.makedirs(dirname)
865		
866		if path.cache == ',':
867		<pre>path.cache = dirname + 'evocache'</pre>
868		<pre>path.evolve()</pre>
869		<pre>path.save(dirname + 'evo')</pre>
870		path.plot(dirname)
871		
872	$\mathbf{def}$	$\_$ loadCache(cacheLoc, cache):
873		<pre>loadFile = open(cache + '/' + str(cacheLoc), 'r')</pre>
874		<pre>snapshot = cPickle.load(loadFile)</pre>
875		<pre>loadFile.close()</pre>
876		return snapshot
877		
878	def	_saveCache(obj, cacheLoc, cache):
879		dumpFile = open(cache + '/' + str(cacheLoc), 'w')
880		cPickle.dump(obj, dumpFile)
881		dumpFile.close()
882		
883	def	$\_genEigenvalues(shape, BCs):$
884		N = shape[0]
885		<b>if</b> BCs[:3] == 'per':
886		kappap = $[4 * pi**2 * i**2 \text{ for } i \text{ in } range(N/2) + range(N/2)]$
		/2,0,-1)]
887		kappa = numpy.zeros(shape)

888	coords = [range(i) for i in shape]
889	<pre>coords = product(*coords)</pre>
890	for coord in coords:
891	eigval = [kappap[i] for i in coord]
892	kappa[coord] = sum(eigval)
893	return kappa
894	else:
895	raise NotImplementedError
896	
897	<b>if</b> name == "main":
898	import doctest
899	doctest.testmod()
	B.0.2 plotters.py
1	import numpy, sys

```
12
        pyplt.plot(x,u)
        pyplt.savefig(filename + '.png')
13
14
        pyplt.close()
15
   def pcolor(u, filename):
16
        """ Plots the given array and saves it to the given filename.
17
            ,, ,, ,,
18
19
        if u.max() > 1 or u.min() < -1:
20
            print >> sys.stderr , "plot_out_of_bounds"
21
22
        pyplt.figure()
        pyplt.axes([0.0, 0.0, 1.0, 1.0])
23
24
        try:
            pyplt.imsave(arr=u,fname=filename + '.png',origin='lower'
25
               , vmin = -1, vmax = 1)
26
        except AttributeError:
       \# Older versions of matplotlib do not have imsave, so it is
27
           implemented
28
       \# below.
            from matplotlib.backends.backend_agg import
29
               FigureCanvasAgg
30
            from matplotlib.figure import Figure
31
32
            fig = Figure(figsize=u.shape[::-1], dpi=1, frameon=False)
```

```
33
            canvas = FigureCanvasAgg(fig)
34
            fig.figimage(u,vmin=-1,vmax=1,origin='lower')
            fig.savefig(filename + '.png',dpi=1)
35
36
       pyplt.close()
37
38
   def plotVTK(u, filename):
39
        """ Saves a given array in a vtk file with the given filename.
40
           ,, ,, ,,
41
42
       shape = u.shape
43
       VtkData(StructuredPoints(shape),
44
                PointData(Scalars(u.flatten()))
                ).tofile(filename + '.vtk')
45
   B.0.3
          transFunc.py
1 import numpy
   from math import sqrt
2
3
4 #TODO add doctests for this module
5
   def matlab_dct(v, axis=-1):
6
        """ Implements the Matlab version of the dct, i.e., the
7
           nonscaled version of
```

8 the dct implemented in dct is scaled to normalize it and make it

orthogonal.""" 9 10N = v.shape[axis]11 12vhat = dct(v, axis)1314if axis = 0: 15vhat [0, ...] = vhat [0, ...] \* 1.0/(2.0 \* sqrt(N))16 ${\rm vhat}\;[\;1:\;,\ldots]\;\;=\;{\rm vhat}\;[\;1:\;,\ldots]\;\;*\;\;1.0\,/\,{\rm sqrt}\;(\;2.0\;\;*\;\;N)$ 1718elif axis = -1: vhat [..., 0] = vhat [..., 0] \* 1.0/(2.0 \* sqrt(N)) 19vhat [..., 1:] = vhat [..., 1:] \* 1.0/sqrt (2.0 \* N)20elif axis = -2: 21vhat  $[\ldots, 0, :] = vhat [\ldots, 0, :] * 1.0/(2.0 * sqrt(N))$ 22vhat [..., 1:, :] = vhat [..., 1:, :] \* 1.0/sqrt (2.0 \* N)232425return vhat 2627def dct (v, axis = -1): 2829"""Implements the discrete cosine transform by computing a fourier30transform of an array of length 4N.""" 3132N = v.shape[axis]

```
vp = numpy. zeros(4*N)
33
34
        slices = [None] * 2
35
36
        slices[0] = slice(1, 2*N+1, 2)
        slices[1] = slice(4*N+1,2*N,-2)
37
38
        vp[slices[0]] = v
39
        vp[slices[1]] = v
40
41
42
        vhat = numpy.fft.rfft(vp)
43
44
        return vhat [:N]
45
   def dct2(v, axes = (-1,0)):
46
        """Implements Matlab's dct2 routine."""
47
48
49
        return matlab_dct(matlab_dct(v, axis=axes[0]), axis=axes[1])
50
   def dct3 (v, axes = (-1, -2, 0)):
51
        """ Implements Matlab's dct3 routine."""
52
53
        return matlab_dct(matlab_dct(matlab_dct(v
54
                           , axis=axes [0])
55
56
                           , axis=axes [1])
57
                           , axis=axes [2])
```

58**def** matlab\_idct (v, axis = -1): 59,, ,, ,, 60 Implements the Matlab version of idct, i.e., it uses 61the basis scalings as in Matlab's dct/idct pair. 62,, ,, ,, 63 6465N = v.shape[axis]v = v . copy()66if axis == 0: 67 v[0,...] = v[0,...] \* 2.0 \* sqrt(1.0/N)68 v[1:,...] = v[1:,...] \* sqrt(2.0/N)69elif axis = -2: 70 $v[\ldots, 0, :] = v[\ldots, 0, :] * 2.0 * sqrt(1.0/N)$ 71v[..., 1:, :] = v[..., 1:, :] \* sqrt(2.0/N)72elif axis = -1: 73v[...,0] = v[...,0] \* 2.0 \* sqrt(1.0/N)74v[...,1:] = v[...,1:] \* sqrt(2.0/N)75return idct(v,axis) / (2.0 / N) 7677**def** idct (v, axis = -1): 78,, ,, ,, 7980 Implements the inverse discrete cosine transform, with a slightly nonstandard scaling. 81,, ,, ,, 82

83	pi = numpy.pi
84	n = len(v.shape)
85	N = v.shape[axis]
86	even = (N%2 == 0)
87	slices = [None] * 4
88	for k in range $(4)$ :
89	slices[k] = []
90	for $j$ in range(n):
91	<pre>slices[k].append(slice(None))</pre>
92	k = numpy. arange(N)
93	if even:
94	$ak = numpy. r_{-}[1.0, [2]*(N-1)]*numpy. exp(1j*pi*k/(2*N))$
95	newshape = numpy.ones(n)
96	newshape $[axis] = N$
97	ak.shape = newshape
98	xhat = numpy.real(numpy.fft.ifft(v*ak,axis=axis))
99	$\mathbf{x} = 0.0 * \mathbf{v}$
100	slices[0][axis] = slice(None, None, 2)
101	slices[1][axis] = slice(None, N/2)
102	slices[2][axis] = slice(N, None, -2)
103	slices $[3][axis] = slice(N/2, None)$
104	for k in range $(4)$ :
105	slices[k] = tuple(slices[k])
106	x[slices[0]] = xhat[slices[1]]
107	x[slices[2]] = xhat[slices[3]]

108return x 109else: ak = 2\*numpy.exp(1j\*pi\*k/(2\*N))110newshape = numpy.ones(n) 111newshape [axis] = N112113ak.shape = newshape114newshape = list(v.shape)115newshape [axis] = 2\*NY = numpy.zeros(newshape, numpy.complex) 116117#Y|:N| = ak\*v#Y[(N+1):] = conj(Y[N:0:-1])118slices[0][axis] = slice(None,N)119slices[1][axis] = slice(None, None)120slices [2] [axis] = slice (N+1,None)121slices[3][axis] = slice((N-1), 0, -1)122Y[slices[0]] = ak\*v123124Y[slices[2]] = numpy. conj(Y[slices[3]])x = numpy.real(numpy.fft.ifft(Y,axis=axis))[slices[0]] 125126return x 127**def** idct2 (v, axes = (-1, -2)): 128,, ,, ,, 129130Matlab's idct2 routine. ,, ,, ,, 131132**return** matlab\_idct(matlab\_idct(v, axis=axes[0]), axis=axes[1])

133**def** idct3 (v, axes = (-1, -2, 0)): 134"""Implements Matlab's idct3 routine.""" 135136137return matlab\_idct(matlab\_idct(matlab\_idct(v 138, axis=axes [0]) , axis=axes [1]) 139140, axis=axes [2]) 141142**def** matlab\_dst(v, axis=-1): """ Matlab's dst routine.""" 143144#TODO implement dst raise NotImplementedError() 145146147**def** matlab\_idst (v, axis = -1): 148""" Matlab's idst routine.""" 149150#TODO implement idst raise NotImplementedError() 151152**def** dst2(v, axes = (-1, -2)): 153""" Matlab's dst2 routine.""" 154155#TODO implement dst2 raise NotImplementedError() 156157

158 **def** idst2 (v, axes = (-1, -2)):

- 159 """ Matlab's idst2 routine."""
- 160  $\#TODO \ implement \ idst2$
- 161 **raise** NotImplementedError()

## **B.1** Examples

## B.1.1 CMWcheck.py

```
1 \#!/Users/matkins/bin/python
2 import numpy, util
3
4 \# Number of cores to use
5 ncpus = 3
6
7 \# Number of parameter possibilities this translates to N * 4 runs
      , so be careful
8 N = 3
9
10 \# Amount of time to run for.
11 \text{ time} = 100000
12
13 \# Amount of perturbation away from the asymptotic regime boundary
14 perturbation = .001
15
16 \# Domain \ sizes
17 L = [4 * numpy.pi,
```

```
2 * \text{numpy.pi},
18
19
         numpy.pi
20
        ]
21
22
   \# Base directory to store the plots in
    baseDir = '/Users/matkins/scratch/CMWCheck'
23
24
25
   mu1 = numpy.random.uniform(0, .2, N)
   mu2 = numpy.random.uniform(0, .6, N)
26
27
   \# Use the below function to get gamma from beta and mu
28
29
    f = lambda beta, mu : (2./\backslash
                              (1 - 3*(mu/beta)**2),
30
31
                              mu)
32
33 \# Use the below function to get mu from beta and gamma
34 \quad \#f = lambda \quad beta , \quad gamma : \quad beta \ * \ numpy. \ sqrt\left(1./3. \ - \ 2./(3.*gamma)\right)
       )
35
   \# Lamellae condition is beta less than the following
36
    beta1 = (1./29.) * numpy. sqrt(551-174*numpy. sqrt(6))
37
38
   \# Hex-circ condition is beta less than the following
39
    beta2 = 3*numpy.sqrt(5./37.)
40
41
```

```
gammal = [f(beta1 - perturbation, m) for m in mu1]
42
   print gammal
43
   gammac = [f(beta1 + perturbation, m) for m in mu1] 
44
           + [f(beta2 - perturbation, m) for m in mu2]
45
46
   print gammac
   gammad = [f(beta2 + perturbation, m) for m in mu2]
47
48
49
   \# In the CMW paper, sigma = 1
   sigma = 1
50
51
52
   def domSz(gamma):
53
        if gamma < 10:
54
            return L[0]
55
        elif gamma < 25:
             return L[1]
56
57
        else:
58
             return L[2]
59
   parmsl = [(g * * 2 * domSz(g) * * 2,
60
61
                m,
                sigma*domSz(g)**2,
62
                (.1/(1+g**1.5))/domSz(g)**4/g**2,
63
                \operatorname{time}/\operatorname{domSz}(g) * *4/g * *2)
64
                for (g,m) in gammal]
65
66
```

```
83
```

67 parmsc = [(g\*\*2\*domSz(g))\*\*2], 68m, sigma\*domSz(g)\*\*2, 69(.1/(1+g\*\*1.5))/domSz(g)\*\*4/g\*\*2,70time/domSz(g) \* \*4/g \* \*2)7172for (g,m) in gammac] 7374 parmsd = [(g\*\*2\*domSz(g))\*\*2], 75m, 76sigma\*domSz(g)\*\*2, (.1/(1+g\*\*1.5))/domSz(g)\*\*4/g\*\*2,77 $\operatorname{time}/\operatorname{domSz}(g) * *4/g * *2)$ 78for (g,m) in gammad] 7980 mkrun = lambda parm: ({ 'solver' : 'per2', 81 'BCs' : 'per2', 82 'lambd' : parm[0], 83 'sigma' : parm[2], 84 'mu'  $: \operatorname{parm}[1],$ 85'numPlots': 100, 86 'timeEnd' : parm[4], 87 'stepSize': parm[3] 88 89 }, 90 1) 91

```
92 lamellaeRuns = [mkrun(parm) for parm in parmsl]
```

```
93 util.runBuilder(lamellaeRuns, baseDir+'/lamellae', ncpus)
94
```

```
95 circularRuns = [mkrun(parm) for parm in parmsc]
```

```
96 util.runBuilder(circularRuns, baseDir+'/circular', ncpus)
```

```
98 disorderRuns = [mkrun(parm) for parm in parmsd]
```

```
99 util.runBuilder(disorderRuns, baseDir+'/disorder', ncpus)
```

```
B.1.2 dg.py
```

```
1 #!/Users/matkins/bin/python
 \mathbf{2}
 3 import util
 4
 5
   epsilon = .03
  mu = .2
 6
 7
   lambd = 2 / epsilon **2
   sigma = epsilon * 2 * * 11 * (1 - mu * 2) * * - 2
 8
 9
   conditions = [[{ 'mu'
10
                               : mu,
                     'lambd' : lambd,
11
                     'sigma'
12
                                 : sigma,
                     'BCs'
                           : 'per3',
13
14
                     'solver' : 'per3',
                     'numPlots' : 10,
15
                     'timeEnd' : 1,
16
```

- 17 'cache' : '',
- 18 'stepSize' : 1e-5},
- 19 4]]
- 20

## Appendix C: Solvers

## $C.1 \ 2D_{-}per.c$

```
1 #include <stdio.h>
 2 #include <math.h>
 3 #include <complex.h>
 4 #include <fftw3.h>
 5 #include <time.h>
 6 #include "mt.h"
 7
 8 #define DEBUG
                     (0)
 9
10 #define NORMOUT (1)
11
12 #define N
                     (40)
                     ((N) / 2 + 1)
13 #define NH
14 #define NLONG
                     (3 * N)
15 #define NLONGH
                     ((NLONG) / 2 + 1)
16
17 #define PI
                     (3.141592653589793)
18
19 #define sq(x)
                     ((x) * (x))
20 #define cu(x)
                     ((\mathbf{x}) * \mathbf{sq}(\mathbf{x}))
21
22 #define coordh(i, j) ((j) + NH * (i))
```

```
23 #define coordlongh(i, j) ((j) + NLONGH * (i))
24 #define coordlong(i, j) ((j) + NLONG * (i))
25
   void scale(fftw_complex *b, fftw_complex *bext){
26
27
       //Copys data from the array the transform was calculated on
           and scales the
28
       //data simultanaeously.
29
30
       register int i, j;
31
       for (i=0; i<N/2; i++){
32
33
            for (j=0; j < NH-1; j++){
34
                b[coordh(i,j)] = bext[coordlongh(i,j)] / sq(NLONG);
                if (i != 0) {
35
                    b[coordh(N-i,j)] = bext[coordlongh(NLONG-i,j)] /
36
                       sq(NLONG);
37
                }
            }
38
       }
39
40
   }
41
   void print_complex(fftw_complex *a, int n, int nh){
42
43
       //Prints an n x nh array of complex numbers to stdout in a
           way that
44
       //util will be able to read
```

register int i,j; 4546for (i=0;i<n;i++) { 47printf("["); 48for (j=0;j<nh;j++) { 4950switch (nh) { case NH: 51printf("%lg%+lgj", 52creal(a[coordh(i,j)]), 53cimag(a[coordh(i,j)])); 5455break; 56case NLONGH: printf("%lg%+lgj", 57creal(a[coordlongh(i,j)]), 58cimag(a[coordlongh(i,j)])); 5960 break; } 61if (j < nh-1) { 62printf(","); 63} 64 65}  $printf("] \setminus n");$ 66} 6768 } 69

70 void print\_double(double \*a, int n){ //Prints an  $n \ x \ n \ array$  of double to stdout in a way that 71util can72//decipher. 73register int i,j; 74for (i=0;i<n;i++) { 75printf("["); 76for (j=0;j<n;j++) { 77printf("%lg", a[coordlongh(i,j)]); 78 $if (j < n-1) {$ 79printf(","); 80 } 81 82}  $printf("] \setminus n");$ 83} 84 85} 86 **double** norm(fftw\_complex \*a){ 87 //computes the two-norm in fourier space 88 89 register int i; 9091double value; 9293value = 0.0;

94 for (i=0; i < N\*NH; i++){ value += (**double**)(a[i] \* conj(a[i])); 95} 9697return sqrt(value); 98 } 99 100 void b\_update(double \*u, double \*f, fftw\_plan fft, fftw\_plan ifft ){ //computes the non-linearity by computing the ifft, computing 101the//non-linearity and computing the fft of that. 102103104 register int i; 105fftw\_execute(ifft); 106107 for (i=0; i < sq(NLONG); i++){ 108f[i] = u[i] - cu(u[i]);109} 110111 fftw\_execute(fft); 112113 } 114115 **void** a\_copy(fftw\_complex \*a, fftw\_complex \*aext){ 116

117register int i,j; 118119// Zero the array (FFTW will destroy it, so it needs to be rezeroed at 120// everytime step). for  $(i=0; i < NLONG*NLONGH; i++){$ 121aext[i] = 0;122123} 124 $/\!/$  Copy the smaller array into the padded one so that 125symmetry is 126// preserved. for (i=0; i<N/2; i++){ 127for (j=0;j<NH-1;j++) { 128aext[coordlongh(i,j)] = a[coordh(i,j)];129 $if (i != 0) \{$ 130aext[coordlongh(NLONG-i,j)] = a[coordh(N-i,j)];131132} } 133} 134} 135136137 $\min()$ 138register int i,j,k;139

140	int initial, numplots, plotstep, normstep;
141	$\label{eq:constraint} \textbf{double} ~*u,~*f,~*kappap,~mu,~sigma,~lambda,~tmp\_real,$
	$\operatorname{tmp}_{-\operatorname{imag}}$ ,
142	h, timeend;
143	$fftw\_complex *a, *b, *aext, *bext;$
144	fftw_plan fft , ifft ;
145	
146	$kappa = (double*) fftw_malloc(sizeof(double) * N * NH);$
147	kappap= $(double*)$ fftw_malloc(sizeof(double) * N);
148	a = $(fftw_complex_*) fftw_malloc(sizeof(fftw_complex) * N$
	* NH);
149	b = $(fftw_complex_*) fftw_malloc(sizeof(fftw_complex) * N$
	* NH);
150	aext = (fftw_complex*) fftw_malloc( $sizeof(fftw_complex) *$
	NLONG * NLONGH);
151	$bext = (fftw_complex*) fftw_malloc(sizeof(fftw_complex) *$
	NLONG * NLONGH);
152	$u = (double*) fftw_malloc(sizeof(double) * sq(NLONG));$
153	$f = (double*) fftw_malloc(sizeof(double) * sq(NLONG));$
154	
155	fftw_import_system_wisdom();
156	$fft = fftw_plan_dft_r2c_2d (NLONG, NLONG, f, bext,$
	FFTW_EXHAUSTIVE);
157	$ifft = fftw_plan_dft_c2r_2d$ (NLONG, NLONG, aext, u,
	FFTW_EXHAUSTIVE);

159	// Read coefficients and other parameters from stdin
160	scanf("%lf_%lf_%lf_%lf_%lf_%d",
161	μ, λ, σ, &h, &timeend, &numplots);
162	<pre>plotstep = (int) ceil(timeend / h / numplots);</pre>
163	normstep = $(int)$ ceil(timeend / h / (numplots*10));
164	
165	
166	// See if stdin tells us to use an initial condition
167	// 0 means no, 1 means yes
168	<pre>scanf("%d", &amp;initial);</pre>
169	
170	// Initializtion of eigenvalue array
171	
172	for $(i=0;i {$
173	kappap[i] = 4.0 * sq(i) * sq(PI);
174	kappap[i+N/2] = 4.0 * sq(N/2-i) * sq(PI);
175	}
176	
177	for $(i=0;i {$
178	for $(j=0; j {$
179	kappa[coordh(i,j)] = kappap[i] + kappap[j];
180	}
181	}
182	

183if (initial) { 184for (i=0; i<N\*NH; i++) { scanf("%lf\_%lf", &tmp\_real, &tmp\_imag); 185186 $a[i] = tmp_real + I * tmp_imag;$ } 187} 188189190// Use random initial conditions otherwise else { 191192// Initialization of the coefficient array // (first we need a seed) 193194init\_genrand(time(NULL)); 195// Random coefficients in real space 196for  $(i=0; i \leq NLONG; i++)$  { 197for  $(j=0; j \leq NLONG; j++)$  { 198199 $f[coordlong(i,j)] = genrand_realc();$ } 200} 201202fftw\_execute(fft); 203204205// Zero a before copy for (i=0;i<N\*NH;i++) { 206207a[i] = 0.0;

```
}
208
209
              scale(a, bext);
210
211
              a[0] = mu;
         }
212
213
         // Initialize b to zeros
214
         for (i=0; i < N*NH; i++) {
215
              b[i] = 0.0;
216
         }
217
218
219 #if DEBUG
220
         printf("a \ n");
221
         print_complex(a,N,NH);
222
223
         a_{-}copy(a, aext);
224
         printf(" \setminus naext \setminus n");
225
226
         print_complex(aext,NLONG,NLONGH);
227
         fftw_execute(ifft);
228
229
230
         // print_double(u, NLONG);
231
         for (i=0; i < cu(NLONG); i++){
232
```

233	f[i] = u[i];
234	}
235	
236	// print_double(f,NLONG);
237	
238	$fftw_execute(fft);$
239	
240	$printf("\nbext\n");$
241	<pre>print_complex(bext,NLONG,NLONGH);</pre>
242	
243	<pre>scale(b, bext);</pre>
244	
245	$printf("\hlown"); print_complex(b,N,NH);$
246	
247	int works = 1;
248	for (i=0;i <n;i++) th="" {<=""></n;i++)>
249	for $(j=0; j < NH; j++) $ {
250	if $((double) ((a[coordh(i,j)]-b[coordh(i,j)])$
251	* $\operatorname{conj}(a[\operatorname{coordh}(i,j)]-b[\operatorname{coordh}(i,j)]))$
252	$> 1e-16)$ {
253	works $= 0;$
254	printf("\nTransform_not_invertible,_at_(%d,%d),\
255	$\label{eq:linear} \label{eq:linear} eq:$
256	i ,j ,

257	creal(a[coordh(i,j)]),cimag(a[coordh(i,j)
	]),
258	creal(b[coordh(i,j)]), cimag(b[coordh(i,j)])
	]));
259	}
260	}
261	}
262	$\mathbf{if}$ (works) {
263	$printf("\nTransform\_is\_invertible!\n");$
264	}
265	
266	#else
267	for $(k=0;k*h {$
268	
269	$if(k \% plotstep == 0) $ {
270	$printf("begin_plot \n");$
271	$printf("time_%lg \n", k*h);$
272	$print_complex(a, N, NH);$
273	$printf("end\_plot \n");$
274	}
275	#if NORMOUT
276	$if(k \% normstep == 0) $ {
277	$printf("norm_%lg \n", norm(a));$
278	}
279	#endif
280 $a_{-}copy(a, aext);$ 281b\_update(u,f,fft,ifft); 282scale(b, bext); 283284for (i=0; i<N; i++) { 285**for** (j=0;j<NH-1;j++) { if (!(i = N/2 && N%2 = 0) && !(i = 0 && j = 0)286 $0)) \{$  $a\left[\operatorname{coordh}(i,j)\right] = \left(a\left[\operatorname{coordh}(i,j)\right]\right]$ 287+ h \* lambda \* b[coordh(i,j)] \* kappa[ 288coordh(i,j)]) / (1 + h \* sq(kappa[coordh(i,j)]) + h \*289lambda \* sigma); 290} } 291} 292} 293 $printf("begin_plot \n");$ 294 $printf("time_%lf \n", timeend);$ 295296print\_complex(a,N,NH);  $printf("end\_plot \n");$ 297#endif 298299}

C.2 3D\_per.c

```
1 #include <stdio.h>
2 \#include <math.h>
3 #include <complex.h>
4 #include <fftw3.h>
5 #include <time.h>
6 #include "mt.h"
7
8 #define DEBUG
                    (0)
9
10 #define NORMOUT (1)
11
12 #define N
                    (64)
13 #define NH
                    ((N) / 2 + 1)
14 #define NLONG
                    (128)
                    ((NLONG) / 2 + 1)
15 #define NLONGH
16
17 #define PI
                    (3.141592653589793)
18
                    ((x) * (x))
19 #define sq(x)
20 \#define cu(x)
                    ((\mathbf{x}) * \mathbf{sq}(\mathbf{x}))
21
22 #define coordh(i,j,k)
                           ((k) + NH * ((j) + N * (i)))
23 #define coordlongh(i,j,k) ((k) + NLONGH * ((j) + NLONG * (i)))
24 #define coordlong(i,j,k) ((k) + NLONG * ((j) + NLONG * (i)))
25
```

26 **void** scale(fftw\_complex \*b, fftw\_complex \*bext){

27//Copys data from the array the transform was calculated on and scales the //data simultanaeously. 2829register int i, j, k; 30 for (i=0; i<N/2; i++){ 31for (j=0; j<N/2; j++) { 32for (k=0;k<NH-1;k++) { 3334b[coordh(i,j,k)] = bext[coordlongh(i,j,k)]/ cu (NLONG); 3536**if** (i != 0) { 37b [coordh(N-i, j, k)] = bext [coordlongh(NLONG-i, k)]j,k)] / cu(NLONG); 38} 39**if** (j != 0) { 40 b[coordh(i, N-j, k)] = bext[coordlongh(i, NLONG-41j,k)] / cu (NLONG); 42} 43**if** (i != 0 && j != 0) { 44b[coordh(N-i,N-j,k)] = bext[coordlongh(NLONG-45i,NLONG-j,k)] 46 / cu (NLONG);

} 47} 4849} 50} } 5152void print\_complex(fftw\_complex \*a, int n, int nh){ 53//Prints an  $n \ x \ n \ x$  nh array of complex numbers to stdout in 54a way that //util will be able to read 55register int i,j,k; 5657for (i=0;i<n;i++) { 58printf("["); 59for (j=0; j < n; j++) { 60 printf("["); 61for (k=0;k<nh;k++) { 6263switch (nh) { case NH: 64printf("%lg%+lgj", 65creal(a[coordh(i,j,k)]),66 $cimag\left(\,a\left[\,coordh\left(\,i\,\,,j\,\,,k\,\right)\,\right]\,\right)\,\right);$ 6768break; 69case NLONGH: printf("%lg%+lgj", 70

71	creal(a[coordlongh(i,j,k)]),			
72	$\operatorname{cimag}(a[\operatorname{coordlongh}(i,j,k)]));$			
73	$\mathbf{break};$			
74	}			
75	$\mathbf{if}$ (k < nh-1) {			
76	printf(",");			
77	}			
78	}			
79	printf("]");			
80	$\mathbf{if} \hspace{0.1in} (\hspace{0.1in} \mathbf{j} \hspace{0.1in} < \hspace{0.1in} \mathbf{n} {-} 1) \hspace{0.1in} \{$			
81	printf(",");			
82	}			
83	}			
84	printf("]\n");			
85	}			
86	}			
87				
88	<pre>void print_double(double *a, int n){</pre>			
89	//Prints ann $x$ n $x$ n $x$ n array of double to stdout in a way that			
	util can			
90	//decipher.			
91	<pre>register int i,j,k;</pre>			
92				
93	for $(i=0;i {$			
94	printf("[");			

for (j=0;j<n;j++) { 95printf("["); 96**for** (k=0;k<n;k++) { 97printf("%lg", a[coordlongh(i,j,k)]); 98 $if (k < n-1) \{$ 99printf(","); 100 } 101} 102printf("]"); 103 $if (j < n-1) {$ 104printf(","); 105} 106} 107 $printf("] \setminus n");$ 108109} 110 } 111 **double** norm(fftw\_complex \*a){ 112113//computes the two-norm in fourier space 114 115register int i; 116double value; 117118value = 0.0; for (i=0; i < sq(N) \* NH; i++){ 119

120value += (**double**)(a[i] \* conj(a[i])); } 121122**return** sqrt(value); 123} 124125void b\_update(double \*u, double \*f, fftw\_plan fft, fftw\_plan ifft ){ 126//computes the non-linearity by computing the ifft, computing the127//non-linearity and computing the fft of that. 128129register int i; 130131fftw\_execute(ifft); 132for (i=0; i < cu(NLONG); i++){ 133f[i] = u[i] - cu(u[i]);134} 135136fftw\_execute(fft); 137} 138139**void** a\_copy(fftw\_complex \*a, fftw\_complex \*aext){ 140141142register int i,j,k;

143

144// Zero the array (FFTW will destroy it, so it needs to be rezeroed at 145// everytime step). for (i=0; i < sq (NLONG) \* NLONGH; i++)146147aext[i] = 0;} 148149// Copy the smaller array into the padded one so that 150symmetry is // preserved. 151152for (i=0; i<N/2; i++){ for (j=0; j<N/2; j++) { 153for (k=0;k<NH-1;k++) { 154aext[coordlongh(i, j, k)] = a[coordh(i, j, k)];155**if** (i != 0) { 156157aext[coordlongh(NLONG-i, j, k)] = a[coordh(N-i, j, k)]j,k)]; } 158**if** (j != 0) { 159aext[coordlongh(i, NLONG-j, k)] = a[coordh(i, N-160j,k)]; } 161**if** (i != 0 && j != 0) { 162

163	aext[coordlongh(NLONG-i,NLONG-j,k)] = a[				
	$\operatorname{coordh}(N-i, N-j, k)];$				
164	}				
165	}				
166	}				
167	}				
168	}				
169					
170	$\min\left( \right) \{$				
171					
172	<pre>register int i,j,k,l;</pre>				
173	<pre>int initial , numplots , plotstep , normstep;</pre>				
174 <b>double</b> *u, *f, *kappa, *kappap, mu, sigma, lambda, tm					
	$\operatorname{tmp\_imag}$ ,				
175	h, timeend;				
176	fftw_complex *a, *b, *aext, *bext;				
177	fftw_plan fft , ifft ;				
178					
179	$kappa = (double*) fftw_malloc(sizeof(double) * sq(N) * NH);$				
180	$kappap= (double*) fftw_malloc(sizeof(double) * N);$				
181	a = $(fftw_complex_*) fftw_malloc(sizeof(fftw_complex) * sq$				
	(N) * NH);				
182	b = $(fftw_complex_*) fftw_malloc(sizeof(fftw_complex) * sq$				
	(N) * NH);				

183	$aext = (fftw_complex*) fftw_malloc(sizeof(fftw_complex) * sq$					
	(NLONG) * NLONGH);					
184	$bext = (fftw_complex*) fftw_malloc(sizeof(fftw_complex) * sq$					
	(NLONG) * NLONGH);					
185	$u = (double*) fftw_malloc(sizeof(double) * cu(NLONG));$					
186	$f = (double*) fftw_malloc(sizeof(double) * cu(NLONG));$					
187						
188	fftw_import_system_wisdom();					
189	$\label{eq:fft} fft = fftw_plan_dft_r2c_3d \ (NLONG, NLONG, NLONG, f \ , bext \ ,$					
	FFTW_EXHAUSTIVE);					
190	$ifft = fftw_plan_dft_c2r_3d$ (NLONG, NLONG, NLONG, aext, u,					
	FFTW_EXHAUSTIVE);					
191						
192	// Read coefficients from stdin					
193	scanf("%lf_%lf_%lf_%lf_%lf_%d",					
194	μ, λ, σ, &h, &timeend, &numplots);					
195	plotstep = (int) ceil(timeend / h / numplots);					
196	normstep = $(int)$ ceil(timeend / h / (numplots*10));					
197						
198	// See if stdin tells us to use an initial condition					
199	// 0 means no, 1 means yes					
200	scanf("%d", &initial);					
201						
202	// Initializtion of eigenvalue array					
203						

204for (i=0;i<N/2;i++) { kappap[i] = 4.0 \* sq(i) \* sq(PI);205kappap[i+N/2] = 4.0 \* sq(N/2-i) \* sq(PI);206} 207208209for (i=0; i<N; i++) { for (j=0; j < N; j++) { 210211**for** (k=0;k<NH;k++) {  $kappa\left[\,coordh\left(\,i\,\,,j\,\,,k\,\right)\,\right] \;=\; kappap\left[\,i\,\right] \;+\; kappap\left[\,j\,\right] \;+\;$ 212kappap[k]; } 213214} } 215216217if (initial) { for (i=0; i < sq(N) \* NH; i++){ 218219scanf("%lf\_%lf", &tmp\_real, &tmp\_imag); 220 $a[i] = tmp_real + I * tmp_imag;$ } 221} 222223// Use random initial conditions otherwise 224225else { // Initialization of the coefficient array 226// (first we need a seed) 227

228init\_genrand(time(NULL)); 229230// Random coefficients in real space 231for  $(i=0; i \leq NLONG; i++)$  { 232for (j=0; j < NLONG; j++) { 233for (k=0;k<NLONG;k++) {  $f\left[\,coordlong\left(\,i\,\,,j\,\,,k\,\right)\,\right] \;=\; .1 \;\; \ast \;\; genrand\_realc\left(\,\right)\,;$ 234} 235} 236} 237238239fftw\_execute(fft); 240// Zero a before copy 241for (i=0; i < sq(N) \* NH; i++){ 242a[i] = 0.0;243} 244245scale(a, bext); 246247a[0] = mu;248} 249250// Initialize b to zeros for (i=0;i<sq(N)\*NH;i++) { 251b[i] = 0.0;252

253		}
254		
255	#if	DEBUG
256		$printf("a \ ");$
257		$print_complex(a, N, NH);$
258		
259		$a_{-}copy(a, aext);$
260		
261		$printf("\naext\n");$
262		${\tt print\_complex(aext,NLONG,NLONGH);}$
263		
264		<pre>fftw_execute(ifft);</pre>
265		
266		<pre>//print_double(u,NLONG);</pre>
267		
268		for $(i=0; i < cu(NLONG); i++){$
269		f[i] = u[i];
270		}
271		
272		<pre>//print_double(f,NLONG);</pre>
273		
274		<pre>fftw_execute(fft);</pre>
275		
276		$printf("\setminus nbext \setminus n");$
277		<pre>print_complex(bext,NLONG,NLONGH);</pre>

278279scale(b, bext); 280281printf("\nb\n");print\_complex(b,N,NH); 282283int works = 1; for (i=0; i<N; i++) { 284for (j=0; j<N; j++) { 285**for** (k=0;k<NH;k++) { 286287if ((double) ((a [coordh(i,j,k)]-b [coordh(i,j,k)]))\*  $\operatorname{conj}(a[\operatorname{coordh}(i,j,k)]-b[\operatorname{coordh}(i,j,k)])$ 288k)]))  $> 1e-16) \{$ 289290works = 0;291printf("\nTransform\_not\_invertible,\_at\_(%d,%d ,%d),∖  $\[\] \] \[\] \] \] \[\] \] \[\] \] \] \[\] \] \[\] \] \] \[\] \] \[\] \] \] \[\] \] \[\] \] \] \[\] \] \[\] \] \[\] \] \[\] \] \[\] \] \[\] \] \[\] \] \] \[\] \[\] \] \[\] \] \[\] \] \[\] \] \[\] \] \[\] \] \[\] \] \[\] \] \[\] \] \[\] \] \[\] \] \[\] \] \[\] \] \[\] \[\] \[\] \] \[\] \] \[\] \] \[\] \] \[\] \] \[\] \] \[\] \[\] \] \[\] \[\] \] \[\] \] \[\] \] \[\] \[\] \] \[\] \[\] \] \[\] \[\] \] \[\] \] \[\] \[\] \] \[\] \] \[\] \[\] \] \[\] \] \[\] \[\] \] \[\] \] \[\] \[\] \] \[\] \[\] \] \[\] \] \[\] \[\] \] \[\] \[\] \] \[\] \[\] \] \[\] \] \[\] \] \[\] \[\] \[\] \] \[\] \[\] \[\] \] \[\] \[\] \[\] \] \[\] \[\] \] \[\] \[\] \] \[\] \[\] \[\] \] \[\] \[\] \] \[\] \[\] \] \[\] \[\] \] \[\] \] \[\] \] \[\] \] \[\] \] \[\] \] \[\] \] \[\] \[\] \] \[\] \] \[\] \] \[\] \] \[\] \[\] \] \[\]$ 292293i,j,k, 294creal(a[coordh(i,j,k)]),cimag(a[coordh (i,j,k)]), 295creal(b[coordh(i,j,k)]),cimag(b[coordh (i,j,k)])); 296} } 297298}

```
}
299
          if (works) {
300
301
                printf("\nTransform_is_invertible!");
302
          }
303
304 #else
          for (l=0; l*h<timeend; l++) {
305
306
                if(1 \% plotstep == 0) {
307
                     printf("begin_plot \n");
308
                     printf("time_%lg n", l*h);
309
310
                     print_complex(a,N,NH);
                     printf("end_plot \n");
311
312
                }
313 #if NORMOUT
                if(1 \% normstep == 0) \{
314
                     \operatorname{printf}(\operatorname{"norm}_{\mathcal{N}} \operatorname{lg} \operatorname{n"}, \operatorname{norm}(a));
315
                }
316
317 #endif
318
                a_{-}copy(a, aext);
                b_update(u,f,fft,ifft);
319
                scale(b, bext);
320
321
                for (i=0;i<N;i++) {
322
                     for (j=0; j<N; j++) {
323
```

324	for $(k=0;k {$
325	if $((N\%2 != 0    (i != N/2 \&\& j != N/2)) \&\&$
326	!(i == 0 & j == 0 & k == 0)) {
327	a[coordh(i, j, k)] = (a[coordh(i, j, k)]
328	+ h * lambda * b $[$ coordh $(i, j, k)$ $]$ *
	kappa[coordh(i,j,k)])
329	/ (1 + h * sq(kappa[coordh(i,j,k)]) +
	h * sigma * lambda);
330	}
331	}
332	}
333	}
334	}
335	$printf("begin_plot \n");$
336	$printf("time_%lf\n", timeend);$
337	$print_complex(a, N, NH);$
338	$printf("end_plot \n");$
339	#endif
340	}

Bibliography

## Bibliography

- Frank S. Bates and Glenn H. Fredrickson. Block copolymer thermodynamics: Theory and experiment. Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem., 41:525–57, 1990.
- [2] Rustum Choksi, Mirjana Maras, and J. F. Williams. 2d phase diagram for minimzers of a nonlocal cahn-hilliard functional. *SIAM J. Appl. Dynamical Systems*, 2010.
- [3] Rustum Choksi, Mark A. Peletier, and J. F. Williams. On the phase diagram for microphase separation of diblock copolymers: An approach via a nonlocal Cahn-Hilliard functional. SIAM J. Appl. Math., 69(6):1712–1738, 2009.
- [4] Lawrence C. Evans. Partial Differential Equations. American Mathematical Society, 1998.
- [5] Paul C. Fife. Models for phase separation and their mathematics. *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, 2000(48):1–26, 2000.
- [6] Matteo Frigo and Steven G. Johnson. The Design and Implementation of FFTW3, 2005.
- [7] Arieh Iserles. A First Course in the Numerical Analysis of Differential Equations. Cambridge University Press, 2 edition, 2009.
- [8] Michael Droettboom John Hunter, Darren Dale. matplotlib User's Guide.
- [9] A.N. Kolmogorov and S.V. Fomin. Introductory Real Analysis. Dover, 1975.
- [10] M. Matsumoto and T. Nishimura. Mersenne twister: A 623-dimensionally equidistributed uniform pseudorandom number generator. ACM Trans. on Modeling and Computer Simulation, 8(1):3–30, 1998.
- [11] Takao Ohta and Kyozi Kawasaki. Equilibrium morphology of block copolymer melts. Macromolecules, 19(10):2621–2632, 1986.
- [12] Pearu Peterson. PyVTK Tools for manipulating VTK files in Python.
- [13] Python Software Foundation. Python v2.7.1 documentation.
- [14] Takashi Teramoto and Yasumasa Nishiura. Double gyroid morphology in a gradient system with nonlocal effects. *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan*, 71(7):1611–1614, 2002.
- [15] Takashi Teramoto and Yasumasa Nishiura. Double gyroid morphology of the diblock copolymer problem. *RIMS Kokyuroku*, 1356:116–121, 2004.

## Curriculum Vitae

Michael Atkins graduated from McLean High School, McLean, Virginia in 2006. He received his Bachelor of Science in Mathematics from George Mason University in 2010. During this time, he was employed by the Department of State as a Computer technician and the National Institute of Standards and Technology as a researcher.